

# #1

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Wednesday, May 04, 2022 9:40:27 AM  
**Last Modified:** Wednesday, May 04, 2022 9:46:06 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:05:38  
**IP Address:** 68.110.103.78

---

Page 1

## Q1

Please list the State or Territory represented by the following responses

Arizona

---

## Q2

Yes

Assuming Congress approves up to 7% for State/Territorial Administrative Grants as proposed in the Administration's FY 23 Budget are you likely to participate

---

## Q3

As soon as it is available in 2023.

If Yes, how soon would you submit an application for the administrative grant?

---

## Q4

Other (please specify):

For those of you planning to submit an administrative grant request initially to cover a year of expenses, what % of your apportionment would you need ?

It would be based on apportionment. We have estimated cost to administer the program at \$275,000

---

## Q5

Based on the percentage estimate in questions 4 and your current apportionment amount, what would be the estimated total dollar amount you would request for administration of the LWCF program covered by the grant.

\$275,000

---

## Q6

If you are planning to submit an administrative grant request what would you estimate to be the total dollar amount of this grant described in Question 5 dedicated to staffing the program?

\$140,000

---

**Q7**

**3 Neutral**

NPS has suggested the maximum federal share for administrative grants be capped at \$ 500,000. On a scale of 5 being strongly agree to 1 being strongly disagree, please respond below.

---

**Q8**

**Too large,**

NASORLO has advocated there should be a minimum staffing level for the LWCF program of three FTE. A Program Manager – to oversee all aspects of LWCF delivery and stewardship, an Operations Manager – to perform ongoing inspections, pre-approvals, program promotion and active grant management, and a Compliance Manager – to concentrate on resolving conversions and lesser compliance issues. Is this recommendation?

Other (please specify):

We only need to but getting an FTE is much more difficult than funding the position. We have other funding available to administer the program, just cannot get additional FTE

---

**Q9**

**Do not know at this time**

If you indicated you would either not apply for an administrative percentage to support your program, or you indicated you would initially apply for less than the maximum of 7% would your future requests

---

**Q10**

**Need more information**

NPS has asked if biennial ( vs annual ) LWCF administrative grants would be a viable option.

---

**Q11**

Describe any challenges you face that limit the extent of the support you need to properly administer your LWCF responsibilities.

Getting the Governor to allow our agency to increase FTE. During COVID it was demonstrated the need for more staff in the field with record attendance with no more staff. The priority as it should be is to add any additional FTE's in the field not central office.

---

**Q12**

Other (please specify):

Please rate your preferences for the most optimal timing for applications and period for the annual administrative grant.

Does not matter

---

#2

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Wednesday, May 04, 2022 9:38:33 AM  
**Last Modified:** Wednesday, May 04, 2022 9:51:45 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:13:12  
**IP Address:** 216.226.180.32

---

Page 1

**Q1**

Please list the State or Territory represented by the following responses

Alabama

---

**Q2**

**Yes**

Assuming Congress approves up to 7% for State/Territorial Administrative Grants as proposed in the Administration's FY 23 Budget are you likely to participate

---

**Q3**

**As soon as it is available in 2023.**

If Yes, how soon would you submit an application for the administrative grant?

---

**Q4**

**The full 7 % of our apportionment**

For those of you planning to submit an administrative grant request initially to cover a year of expenses, what % of your apportionment would you need ?

---

**Q5**

Based on the percentage estimate in questions 4 and your current apportionment amount, what would be the estimated total dollar amount you would request for administration of the LWCF program covered by the grant.

\$319,000

---

**Q6**

If you are planning to submit an administrative grant request what would you estimate to be the total dollar amount of this grant described in Question 5 dedicated to staffing the program?

All

---

**Q7**

**2 Disagree**

NPS has suggested the maximum federal share for administrative grants be capped at \$ 500,000. On a scale of 5 being strongly agree to 1 being strongly disagree, please respond below.

---

**Q8**

**Too few**

NASORLO has advocated there should be a minimum staffing level for the LWCF program of three FTE. A Program Manager – to oversee all aspects of LWCF delivery and stewardship, an Operations Manager – to perform ongoing inspections, pre-approvals, program promotion and active grant management, and a Compliance Manager – to concentrate on resolving conversions and lesser compliance issues. Is this recommendation?

---

**Q9**

**Respondent skipped this question**

If you indicated you would either not apply for an administrative percentage to support your program, or you indicated you would initially apply for less than the maximum of 7% would your future requests

---

**Q10**

**Need more information,**

NPS has asked if biennial ( vs annual ) LWCF administrative grants would be a viable option.

Other (please specify):

We would prefer annual. At this time that would work best for us.

---

**Q11**

Describe any challenges you face that limit the extent of the support you need to properly administer your LWCF responsibilities.

The only challenge that we face in properly administering our LWCF responsibilities is the lack of funds to pay staff.

---

**Q12**

**July 1st Application for fiscal year beginning in October through the following September.**

Please rate your preferences for the most optimal timing for applications and period for the annual administrative grant.

---

#3

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Wednesday, May 04, 2022 9:50:23 AM  
**Last Modified:** Wednesday, May 04, 2022 9:59:02 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:08:38  
**IP Address:** 47.28.225.89

---

Page 1

**Q1**

Please list the State or Territory represented by the following responses

Wyoming

---

**Q2**

**Yes**

Assuming Congress approves up to 7% for State/Territorial Administrative Grants as proposed in the Administration's FY 23 Budget are you likely to participate

---

**Q3**

**As soon as it is available in 2023.**

If Yes, how soon would you submit an application for the administrative grant?

---

**Q4**

**The full 7 % of our apportionment**

For those of you planning to submit an administrative grant request initially to cover a year of expenses, what % of your apportionment would you need ?

---

**Q5**

Based on the percentage estimate in questions 4 and your current apportionment amount, what would be the estimated total dollar amount you would request for administration of the LWCF program covered by the grant.

\$171,786.00

---

**Q6**

If you are planning to submit an administrative grant request what would you estimate to be the total dollar amount of this grant described in Question 5 dedicated to staffing the program?

\$100,000.00

---

**Q7**

**3 Neutral**

NPS has suggested the maximum federal share for administrative grants be capped at \$ 500,000. On a scale of 5 being strongly agree to 1 being strongly disagree, please respond below.

---

**Q8**

**Appropriate**

NASORLO has advocated there should be a minimum staffing level for the LWCF program of three FTE. A Program Manager – to oversee all aspects of LWCF delivery and stewardship, an Operations Manager – to perform ongoing inspections, pre-approvals, program promotion and active grant management, and a Compliance Manager – to concentrate on resolving conversions and lesser compliance issues. Is this recommendation?

---

**Q9**

**Respondent skipped this question**

If you indicated you would either not apply for an administrative percentage to support your program, or you indicated you would initially apply for less than the maximum of 7% would your future requests

---

**Q10**

**Yes biennial grants would be an option we would support**

NPS has asked if biennial ( vs annual ) LWCF administrative grants would be a viable option.

---

**Q11**

Describe any challenges you face that limit the extent of the support you need to properly administer your LWCF responsibilities.

We currently are short staffed and have a very little budget to use for LWCF.

---

**Q12**

**May 1st Application for fiscal year beginning in July through the following June.**

Please rate your preferences for the most optimal timing for applications and period for the annual administrative grant.

---

#4

COMPLETE

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Wednesday, May 04, 2022 9:56:38 AM  
**Last Modified:** Wednesday, May 04, 2022 10:04:23 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:07:45  
**IP Address:** 159.247.3.230

---

Page 1

**Q1**

Please list the State or Territory represented by the following responses

Connecticut

---

**Q2**

**Yes**

Assuming Congress approves up to 7% for State/Territorial Administrative Grants as proposed in the Administration's FY 23 Budget are you likely to participate

---

**Q3**

**As soon as it is available in 2023.**

If Yes, how soon would you submit an application for the administrative grant?

---

**Q4**

**The full 7 % of our apportionment**

For those of you planning to submit an administrative grant request initially to cover a year of expenses, what % of your apportionment would you need ?

---

**Q5**

Based on the percentage estimate in questions 4 and your current apportionment amount, what would be the estimated total dollar amount you would request for administration of the LWCF program covered by the grant.

\$245,000

---

**Q6**

If you are planning to submit an administrative grant request what would you estimate to be the total dollar amount of this grant described in Question 5 dedicated to staffing the program?

100%

---

**Q7**

**1 Strongly Disagree**

NPS has suggested the maximum federal share for administrative grants be capped at \$ 500,000. On a scale of 5 being strongly agree to 1 being strongly disagree, please respond below.

---

**Q8**

**Appropriate**

NASORLO has advocated there should be a minimum staffing level for the LWCF program of three FTE. A Program Manager – to oversee all aspects of LWCF delivery and stewardship, an Operations Manager – to perform ongoing inspections, pre-approvals, program promotion and active grant management, and a Compliance Manager – to concentrate on resolving conversions and lesser compliance issues. Is this recommendation?

---

**Q9**

**Respondent skipped this question**

If you indicated you would either not apply for an administrative percentage to support your program, or you indicated you would initially apply for less than the maximum of 7% would your future requests

---

**Q10**

**No, biennial grants would not be a viable option for us**

NPS has asked if biennial ( vs annual ) LWCF administrative grants would be a viable option.

---

**Q11**

Describe any challenges you face that limit the extent of the support you need to properly administer your LWCF responsibilities.

Funding for staffing is our biggest challenge

---

**Q12**

**Respondent skipped this question**

Please rate your preferences for the most optimal timing for applications and period for the annual administrative grant.

---



#5

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Wednesday, May 04, 2022 9:55:27 AM  
**Last Modified:** Wednesday, May 04, 2022 10:07:30 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:12:03  
**IP Address:** 207.144.38.190

---

Page 1

**Q1**

Please list the State or Territory represented by the following responses

South Carolina

---

**Q2**

**Yes**

Assuming Congress approves up to 7% for State/Territorial Administrative Grants as proposed in the Administration's FY 23 Budget are you likely to participate

---

**Q3**

**As soon as it is available in 2023.**

If Yes, how soon would you submit an application for the administrative grant?

---

**Q4**

**Less than 3 % of our apportionment**

For those of you planning to submit an administrative grant request initially to cover a year of expenses, what % of your apportionment would you need ?

---

**Q5**

Based on the percentage estimate in questions 4 and your current apportionment amount, what would be the estimated total dollar amount you would request for administration of the LWCF program covered by the grant.

\$75,000.00

---

**Q6**

If you are planning to submit an administrative grant request what would you estimate to be the total dollar amount of this grant described in Question 5 dedicated to staffing the program?

\$50,000

---

**Q7**

**5 Strongly Agree**

NPS has suggested the maximum federal share for administrative grants be capped at \$ 500,000. On a scale of 5 being strongly agree to 1 being strongly disagree, please respond below.

---

**Q8**

**Appropriate**

NASORLO has advocated there should be a minimum staffing level for the LWCF program of three FTE. A Program Manager – to oversee all aspects of LWCF delivery and stewardship, an Operations Manager – to perform ongoing inspections, pre-approvals, program promotion and active grant management, and a Compliance Manager – to concentrate on resolving conversions and lesser compliance issues. Is this recommendation?

---

**Q9**

**Do not know at this time**

If you indicated you would either not apply for an administrative percentage to support your program, or you indicated you would initially apply for less than the maximum of 7% would your future requests

---

**Q10**

**No, biennial grants would not be a viable option for us**

NPS has asked if biennial ( vs annual ) LWCF administrative grants would be a viable option.

---

**Q11**

Describe any challenges you face that limit the extent of the support you need to properly administer your LWCF responsibilities.

Adequate staffing to keep pace with the (ever-growing) volume of LWCF sites that require inspections and have minor compliance issues (e.g., change of sponsor, obsolete facilities).

---

**Q12**

**May 1st Application for fiscal year beginning in July through the following June.**

Please rate your preferences for the most optimal timing for applications and period for the annual administrative grant.

---

#6

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Wednesday, May 04, 2022 10:21:01 AM  
**Last Modified:** Wednesday, May 04, 2022 10:27:50 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:06:49  
**IP Address:** 156.63.69.168

---

Page 1

**Q1**

Please list the State or Territory represented by the following responses

Ohio

---

**Q2**

**Yes**

Assuming Congress approves up to 7% for State/Territorial Administrative Grants as proposed in the Administration's FY 23 Budget are you likely to participate

---

**Q3**

**As soon as it is available in 2023.**

If Yes, how soon would you submit an application for the administrative grant?

---

**Q4**

**The full 7 % of our apportionment**

For those of you planning to submit an administrative grant request initially to cover a year of expenses, what % of your apportionment would you need ?

---

**Q5**

Based on the percentage estimate in questions 4 and your current apportionment amount, what would be the estimated total dollar amount you would request for administration of the LWCF program covered by the grant.

\$595,000

---

**Q6**

If you are planning to submit an administrative grant request what would you estimate to be the total dollar amount of this grant described in Question 5 dedicated to staffing the program?

\$300,000-\$400,000

---

**Q7**

**3 Neutral**

NPS has suggested the maximum federal share for administrative grants be capped at \$ 500,000. On a scale of 5 being strongly agree to 1 being strongly disagree, please respond below.

---

**Q8**

NASORLO has advocated there should be a minimum staffing level for the LWCF program of three FTE. A Program Manager – to oversee all aspects of LWCF delivery and stewardship, an Operations Manager – to perform ongoing inspections, pre-approvals, program promotion and active grant management, and a Compliance Manager – to concentrate on resolving conversions and lesser compliance issues. Is this recommendation?

**Appropriate,**

Other (please specify):

I believe this would be appropriate, but could be a larger number

---

**Q9**

If you indicated you would either not apply for an administrative percentage to support your program, or you indicated you would initially apply for less than the maximum of 7% would your future requests

**Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q10**

NPS has asked if biennial ( vs annual ) LWCF administrative grants would be a viable option.

**Need more information,**

Other (please specify):

Ohio would generally be supportive of any opportunity for administrative grants

---

**Q11**

Describe any challenges you face that limit the extent of the support you need to properly administer your LWCF responsibilities.

We have approximately 1300 historic LWCF projects and we have had one person contributing only a portion of their time to LWCF for the last 3 years. We are now receiving \$8-9 million annually in new funding. We need additional staff to manage this workload

---

**Q12**

Please rate your preferences for the most optimal timing for applications and period for the annual administrative grant.

**May 1st Application for fiscal year beginning in July through the following June.**

---

#7

COMPLETE

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Wednesday, May 04, 2022 10:36:25 AM  
**Last Modified:** Wednesday, May 04, 2022 10:44:10 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:07:45  
**IP Address:** 199.192.11.5

---

Page 1

**Q1**

Please list the State or Territory represented by the following responses

New Hampshire

---

**Q2**

**Yes**

Assuming Congress approves up to 7% for State/Territorial Administrative Grants as proposed in the Administration's FY 23 Budget are you likely to participate

---

**Q3**

**As soon as it is available in 2023.**

If Yes, how soon would you submit an application for the administrative grant?

---

**Q4**

**The full 7 % of our apportionment**

For those of you planning to submit an administrative grant request initially to cover a year of expenses, what % of your apportionment would you need ?

---

**Q5**

Based on the percentage estimate in questions 4 and your current apportionment amount, what would be the estimated total dollar amount you would request for administration of the LWCF program covered by the grant.

\$180,000

---

**Q6**

If you are planning to submit an administrative grant request what would you estimate to be the total dollar amount of this grant described in Question 5 dedicated to staffing the program?

\$180,000

---

**Q7**

**1 Strongly Disagree**

NPS has suggested the maximum federal share for administrative grants be capped at \$ 500,000. On a scale of 5 being strongly agree to 1 being strongly disagree, please respond below.

---

**Q8**

**Appropriate**

NASORLO has advocated there should be a minimum staffing level for the LWCF program of three FTE. A Program Manager – to oversee all aspects of LWCF delivery and stewardship, an Operations Manager – to perform ongoing inspections, pre-approvals, program promotion and active grant management, and a Compliance Manager – to concentrate on resolving conversions and lesser compliance issues. Is this recommendation?

---

**Q9**

**Respondent skipped this question**

If you indicated you would either not apply for an administrative percentage to support your program, or you indicated you would initially apply for less than the maximum of 7% would your future requests

---

**Q10**

**No, biennial grants would not be a viable option for us,**  
Other (please specify):  
This should be tied to each FY apportionment- 7% right off the top.

---

NPS has asked if biennial ( vs annual ) LWCF administrative grants would be a viable option.

---

**Q11**

Describe any challenges you face that limit the extent of the support you need to properly administer your LWCF responsibilities.

Staff and money to pay for them. Being a small self funded agency its hard to get approved funds and positions to support a program that does not directly benefit State Parks (current administrative costs are covered by user fees entering our state parks).

---

**Q12**

**May 1st Application for fiscal year beginning in July through the following June.**

Please rate your preferences for the most optimal timing for applications and period for the annual administrative grant.

---

#8

COMPLETE

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Wednesday, May 04, 2022 10:43:19 AM  
**Last Modified:** Wednesday, May 04, 2022 10:46:42 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:03:23  
**IP Address:** 98.202.255.41

---

Page 1

**Q1**

Please list the State or Territory represented by the following responses

Utah

---

**Q2**

**Yes**

Assuming Congress approves up to 7% for State/Territorial Administrative Grants as proposed in the Administration's FY 23 Budget are you likely to participate

---

**Q3**

**As soon as it is available in 2023.**

If Yes, how soon would you submit an application for the administrative grant?

---

**Q4**

**The full 7 % of our apportionment**

For those of you planning to submit an administrative grant request initially to cover a year of expenses, what % of your apportionment would you need ?

---

**Q5**

Based on the percentage estimate in questions 4 and your current apportionment amount, what would be the estimated total dollar amount you would request for administration of the LWCF program covered by the grant.

\$150k

---

**Q6**

If you are planning to submit an administrative grant request what would you estimate to be the total dollar amount of this grant described in Question 5 dedicated to staffing the program?

\$150k

---

**Q7**

**4 Agree**

NPS has suggested the maximum federal share for administrative grants be capped at \$ 500,000. On a scale of 5 being strongly agree to 1 being strongly disagree, please respond below.

---

**Q8**

**Appropriate**

NASORLO has advocated there should be a minimum staffing level for the LWCF program of three FTE. A Program Manager – to oversee all aspects of LWCF delivery and stewardship, an Operations Manager – to perform ongoing inspections, pre-approvals, program promotion and active grant management, and a Compliance Manager – to concentrate on resolving conversions and lesser compliance issues. Is this recommendation?

---

**Q9**

**Do not know at this time**

If you indicated you would either not apply for an administrative percentage to support your program, or you indicated you would initially apply for less than the maximum of 7% would your future requests

---

**Q10**

**Yes biennial grants would be an option we would support**

NPS has asked if biennial ( vs annual ) LWCF administrative grants would be a viable option.

---

**Q11**

Describe any challenges you face that limit the extent of the support you need to properly administer your LWCF responsibilities.

The process is too slow for our communities to properly plan. Match dollars get prioritized elsewhere when no movement is made on an LWCF application.

---

**Q12**

**July 1st Application for fiscal year beginning in October through the following September.**

Please rate your preferences for the most optimal timing for applications and period for the annual administrative grant.

---



#9

COMPLETE

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Wednesday, May 04, 2022 9:33:11 AM  
**Last Modified:** Wednesday, May 04, 2022 11:26:56 AM  
**Time Spent:** 01:53:44  
**IP Address:** 166.67.255.242

---

Page 1

**Q1**

Please list the State or Territory represented by the following responses

Virginia

---

**Q2**

**Yes**

Assuming Congress approves up to 7% for State/Territorial Administrative Grants as proposed in the Administration's FY 23 Budget are you likely to participate

---

**Q3**

**As soon as it is available in 2023.**

If Yes, how soon would you submit an application for the administrative grant?

---

**Q4**

**Only 3-4 % of our apportionment**

For those of you planning to submit an administrative grant request initially to cover a year of expenses, what % of your apportionment would you need ?

---

**Q5**

Based on the percentage estimate in questions 4 and your current apportionment amount, what would be the estimated total dollar amount you would request for administration of the LWCF program covered by the grant.

\$180,000

---

**Q6**

If you are planning to submit an administrative grant request what would you estimate to be the total dollar amount of this grant described in Question 5 dedicated to staffing the program?

\$125,000

---

**Q7**

**3 Neutral**

NPS has suggested the maximum federal share for administrative grants be capped at \$ 500,000. On a scale of 5 being strongly agree to 1 being strongly disagree, please respond below.

---

**Q8**

**Appropriate,**

NASORLO has advocated there should be a minimum staffing level for the LWCF program of three FTE. A Program Manager – to oversee all aspects of LWCF delivery and stewardship, an Operations Manager – to perform ongoing inspections, pre-approvals, program promotion and active grant management, and a Compliance Manager – to concentrate on resolving conversions and lesser compliance issues. Is this recommendation?

Other (please specify):

At least 3 full-time staff, I may separate the duties differently. Also need someone specifically mapping old data.

---

**Q9**

**Increase towards the 7% as staffing increases**

If you indicated you would either not apply for an administrative percentage to support your program, or you indicated you would initially apply for less than the maximum of 7% would your future requests

---

**Q10**

**Need more information,**

NPS has asked if biennial ( vs annual ) LWCF administrative grants would be a viable option.

Other (please specify):

Why not make it a three year project like other projects?  
Similar to RTP.

---

**Q11**

Describe any challenges you face that limit the extent of the support you need to properly administer your LWCF responsibilities.

Staffing constraints is the number one issue. Both within the State and with NPS. Would appreciate more training opportunities (Thank you NASORLO for your efforts on that!).

Also some more respect of the SLO and ASLOs to make sound decisions regarding prospective project needs and conversion issues. Especially wondering why a 'sheltering request' takes months to approve...

---

**Q12**

**May 1st Application for fiscal year beginning in July through the following June.**

Please rate your preferences for the most optimal timing for applications and period for the annual administrative grant.

---

# #10

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Wednesday, May 04, 2022 11:59:15 AM  
**Last Modified:** Wednesday, May 04, 2022 12:16:28 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:17:12  
**IP Address:** 163.191.72.192

---

Page 1

## Q1

Please list the State or Territory represented by the following responses

State of Illinois

---

## Q2

**Yes**

Assuming Congress approves up to 7% for State/Territorial Administrative Grants as proposed in the Administration's FY 23 Budget are you likely to participate

---

## Q3

**As soon as it is available in 2023.**

If Yes, how soon would you submit an application for the administrative grant?

---

## Q4

**The full 7 % of our apportionment**

For those of you planning to submit an administrative grant request initially to cover a year of expenses, what % of your apportionment would you need ?

---

## Q5

Based on the percentage estimate in questions 4 and your current apportionment amount, what would be the estimated total dollar amount you would request for administration of the LWCF program covered by the grant.

\$693,000

---

## Q6

If you are planning to submit an administrative grant request what would you estimate to be the total dollar amount of this grant described in Question 5 dedicated to staffing the program?

100%

---

**Q7**

**3 Neutral**

NPS has suggested the maximum federal share for administrative grants be capped at \$ 500,000. On a scale of 5 being strongly agree to 1 being strongly disagree, please respond below.

---

**Q8**

**Appropriate**

NASORLO has advocated there should be a minimum staffing level for the LWCF program of three FTE. A Program Manager – to oversee all aspects of LWCF delivery and stewardship, an Operations Manager – to perform ongoing inspections, pre-approvals, program promotion and active grant management, and a Compliance Manager – to concentrate on resolving conversions and lesser compliance issues. Is this recommendation?

---

**Q9**

**Respondent skipped this question**

If you indicated you would either not apply for an administrative percentage to support your program, or you indicated you would initially apply for less than the maximum of 7% would your future requests

---

**Q10**

**Other (please specify):  
neutral on this subject**

NPS has asked if biennial ( vs annual ) LWCF administrative grants would be a viable option.

---

**Q11**

Describe any challenges you face that limit the extent of the support you need to properly administer your LWCF responsibilities.

currently using staff from other programs to manage and this is not the best approach

---

**Q12**

**May 1st Application for fiscal year beginning in July through the following June.**

Please rate your preferences for the most optimal timing for applications and period for the annual administrative grant.

---

# #11

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Wednesday, May 04, 2022 12:55:33 PM  
**Last Modified:** Wednesday, May 04, 2022 1:25:25 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:29:52  
**IP Address:** 165.127.23.5

---

Page 1

## Q1

Please list the State or Territory represented by the following responses

Colorado

---

## Q2

Assuming Congress approves up to 7% for State/Territorial Administrative Grants as proposed in the Administration's FY 23 Budget are you likely to participate

Other (please specify):  
Unknown at this time. We will need more detail on how the program will work.

---

## Q3

If Yes, how soon would you submit an application for the administrative grant?

**Unknown at this time**

---

## Q4

For those of you planning to submit an administrative grant request initially to cover a year of expenses, what % of your apportionment would you need ?

**Respondent skipped this question**

---

## Q5

Based on the percentage estimate in questions 4 and your current apportionment amount, what would be the estimated total dollar amount you would request for administration of the LWCF program covered by the grant.

**Respondent skipped this question**

---

## Q6

If you are planning to submit an administrative grant request what would you estimate to be the total dollar amount of this grant described in Question 5 dedicated to staffing the program?

---

**Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q7**

NPS has suggested the maximum federal share for administrative grants be capped at \$ 500,000. On a scale of 5 being strongly agree to 1 being strongly disagree, please respond below.

Other (please specify):

I do not feel we have enough detail to have an opinion at this time. We will need more details on how deadlines and match will work.

**Q8**

NASORLO has advocated there should be a minimum staffing level for the LWCF program of three FTE. A Program Manager – to oversee all aspects of LWCF delivery and stewardship, an Operations Manager – to perform ongoing inspections, pre-approvals, program promotion and active grant management, and a Compliance Manager – to concentrate on resolving conversions and lesser compliance issues. Is this recommendation?

Other (please specify):

I am not sure we would be able to get support to hire all 3 FTEs recommended by NASORLO at this time. We currently have two FTE that work part time on LWCF so would probably start smaller than this recommendation.

**Q9**

If you indicated you would either not apply for an administrative percentage to support your program, or you indicated you would initially apply for less than the maximum of 7% would your future requests

**Do not know at this time**

**Q10**

NPS has asked if biennial ( vs annual ) LWCF administrative grants would be a viable option.

**Need more information**

**Q11**

Describe any challenges you face that limit the extent of the support you need to properly administer your LWCF responsibilities.

Right now we are challenged by not just our staff capacity but also the capacity of NPS to move forward with grant approvals.

**Q12**

Please rate your preferences for the most optimal timing for applications and period for the annual administrative grant.

**May 1st Application for fiscal year beginning in July through the following June.**

# #12

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Wednesday, May 04, 2022 1:32:23 PM  
**Last Modified:** Wednesday, May 04, 2022 1:44:06 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:11:42  
**IP Address:** 69.60.38.224

---

Page 1

## Q1

Please list the State or Territory represented by the following responses

State of Mississippi

---

## Q2

**Yes**

Assuming Congress approves up to 7% for State/Territorial Administrative Grants as proposed in the Administration's FY 23 Budget are you likely to participate

---

## Q3

**Unknown at this time**

If Yes, how soon would you submit an application for the administrative grant?

---

## Q4

Other (please specify):  
Unknown at this time.

For those of you planning to submit an administrative grant request initially to cover a year of expenses, what % of your apportionment would you need ?

---

## Q5

Based on the percentage estimate in questions 4 and your current apportionment amount, what would be the estimated total dollar amount you would request for administration of the LWCF program covered by the grant.

Unknown at this time.

---

## Q6

If you are planning to submit an administrative grant request what would you estimate to be the total dollar amount of this grant described in Question 5 dedicated to staffing the program?

Unknown at this time.

---

**Q7**

**3 Neutral**

NPS has suggested the maximum federal share for administrative grants be capped at \$ 500,000. On a scale of 5 being strongly agree to 1 being strongly disagree, please respond below.

---

**Q8**

**Appropriate**

NASORLO has advocated there should be a minimum staffing level for the LWCF program of three FTE. A Program Manager – to oversee all aspects of LWCF delivery and stewardship, an Operations Manager – to perform ongoing inspections, pre-approvals, program promotion and active grant management, and a Compliance Manager – to concentrate on resolving conversions and lesser compliance issues. Is this recommendation?

---

**Q9**

**Do not know at this time**

If you indicated you would either not apply for an administrative percentage to support your program, or you indicated you would initially apply for less than the maximum of 7% would your future requests

---

**Q10**

**Yes biennial grants would be an option we would support**

NPS has asked if biennial ( vs annual ) LWCF administrative grants would be a viable option.

---

**Q11**

Describe any challenges you face that limit the extent of the support you need to properly administer your LWCF responsibilities.

Strategic planning is challenged by variance in the timing of annual apportionment releases to the States.

---

**Q12**

**May 1st Application for fiscal year beginning in July through the following June.**

Please rate your preferences for the most optimal timing for applications and period for the annual administrative grant.

---



# #13

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Wednesday, May 04, 2022 1:46:28 PM  
**Last Modified:** Wednesday, May 04, 2022 1:51:07 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:04:39  
**IP Address:** 160.93.13.8

---

Page 1

## Q1

Please list the State or Territory represented by the following responses

New Jersey

---

## Q2

**Yes**

Assuming Congress approves up to 7% for State/Territorial Administrative Grants as proposed in the Administration's FY 23 Budget are you likely to participate

---

## Q3

**Unknown at this time**

If Yes, how soon would you submit an application for the administrative grant?

---

## Q4

Other (please specify):  
Not sure at this time

For those of you planning to submit an administrative grant request initially to cover a year of expenses, what % of your apportionment would you need ?

---

## Q5

Based on the percentage estimate in questions 4 and your current apportionment amount, what would be the estimated total dollar amount you would request for administration of the LWCF program covered by the grant.

see above, this is still TBD

---

## Q6

If you are planning to submit an administrative grant request what would you estimate to be the total dollar amount of this grant described in Question 5 dedicated to staffing the program?

TBD

---

**Q7**

**4 Agree**

NPS has suggested the maximum federal share for administrative grants be capped at \$ 500,000. On a scale of 5 being strongly agree to 1 being strongly disagree, please respond below.

---

**Q8**

**Appropriate**

NASORLO has advocated there should be a minimum staffing level for the LWCF program of three FTE. A Program Manager – to oversee all aspects of LWCF delivery and stewardship, an Operations Manager – to perform ongoing inspections, pre-approvals, program promotion and active grant management, and a Compliance Manager – to concentrate on resolving conversions and lesser compliance issues. Is this recommendation?

---

**Q9**

**Increase towards the 7% as staffing increases**

If you indicated you would either not apply for an administrative percentage to support your program, or you indicated you would initially apply for less than the maximum of 7% would your future requests

---

**Q10**

**Need more information,**

NPS has asked if biennial ( vs annual ) LWCF administrative grants would be a viable option.

Other (please specify):

Would the number of grant cycles biannually change?

Would performance period change?

---

**Q11**

Describe any challenges you face that limit the extent of the support you need to properly administer your LWCF responsibilities.

Need additional staff

---

**Q12**

**Respondent skipped this question**

Please rate your preferences for the most optimal timing for applications and period for the annual administrative grant.

---

# #14

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Wednesday, May 04, 2022 1:46:27 PM  
**Last Modified:** Wednesday, May 04, 2022 1:58:50 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:12:23  
**IP Address:** 170.142.177.185

---

Page 1

## Q1

Please list the State or Territory represented by the following responses

Tennessee

---

## Q2

Yes

Assuming Congress approves up to 7% for State/Territorial Administrative Grants as proposed in the Administration's FY 23 Budget are you likely to participate

---

## Q3

As soon as it is available in 2023.

If Yes, how soon would you submit an application for the administrative grant?

---

## Q4

Only 5% of our apportionment

For those of you planning to submit an administrative grant request initially to cover a year of expenses, what % of your apportionment would you need ?

---

## Q5

Based on the percentage estimate in questions 4 and your current apportionment amount, what would be the estimated total dollar amount you would request for administration of the LWCF program covered by the grant.

\$200,000-\$250,000

---

## Q6

If you are planning to submit an administrative grant request what would you estimate to be the total dollar amount of this grant described in Question 5 dedicated to staffing the program?

\$200,000

---

**Q7**

**3 Neutral**

NPS has suggested the maximum federal share for administrative grants be capped at \$ 500,000. On a scale of 5 being strongly agree to 1 being strongly disagree, please respond below.

---

**Q8**

**Appropriate**

NASORLO has advocated there should be a minimum staffing level for the LWCF program of three FTE. A Program Manager – to oversee all aspects of LWCF delivery and stewardship, an Operations Manager – to perform ongoing inspections, pre-approvals, program promotion and active grant management, and a Compliance Manager – to concentrate on resolving conversions and lesser compliance issues. Is this recommendation?

---

**Q9**

**Increase towards the 7% as staffing increases**

If you indicated you would either not apply for an administrative percentage to support your program, or you indicated you would initially apply for less than the maximum of 7% would your future requests

---

**Q10**

**Need more information,**

NPS has asked if biennial ( vs annual ) LWCF administrative grants would be a viable option.

Other (please specify):

If biennial, then we might increase our grant request to the full 7% because we operate on annual fiscal years.

---

**Q11**

Describe any challenges you face that limit the extent of the support you need to properly administer your LWCF responsibilities.

Currently state budget dollars aren't enough to manage both our state grant programs plus the federal LWCF; need for vehicles to travel to LWCF sites; knowledge and training- such as having someone with compliance responsibilities;

---

**Q12**

**May 1st Application for fiscal year beginning in July through the following June.**

Please rate your preferences for the most optimal timing for applications and period for the annual administrative grant.

---

#15

COMPLETE

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Wednesday, May 04, 2022 2:01:10 PM  
**Last Modified:** Wednesday, May 04, 2022 2:18:17 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:17:07  
**IP Address:** 208.87.233.201

---

Page 1

**Q1**

Please list the State or Territory represented by the following responses

Nevada

---

**Q2**

**Yes**

Assuming Congress approves up to 7% for State/Territorial Administrative Grants as proposed in the Administration's FY 23 Budget are you likely to participate

---

**Q3**

**As soon as it is available in 2023.**

If Yes, how soon would you submit an application for the administrative grant?

---

**Q4**

**Only 5% of our apportionment**

For those of you planning to submit an administrative grant request initially to cover a year of expenses, what % of your apportionment would you need ?

---

**Q5**

Based on the percentage estimate in questions 4 and your current apportionment amount, what would be the estimated total dollar amount you would request for administration of the LWCF program covered by the grant.

\$200,000

---

**Q6**

If you are planning to submit an administrative grant request what would you estimate to be the total dollar amount of this grant described in Question 5 dedicated to staffing the program?

90% with the remaining amount dedicated to staff training/travel

---

**Q7**

**3 Neutral**

NPS has suggested the maximum federal share for administrative grants be capped at \$ 500,000. On a scale of 5 being strongly agree to 1 being strongly disagree, please respond below.

---

**Q8**

**Too few**

NASORLO has advocated there should be a minimum staffing level for the LWCF program of three FTE. A Program Manager – to oversee all aspects of LWCF delivery and stewardship, an Operations Manager – to perform ongoing inspections, pre-approvals, program promotion and active grant management, and a Compliance Manager – to concentrate on resolving conversions and lesser compliance issues. Is this recommendation?

---

**Q9**

**Increase towards the 7% as staffing increases**

If you indicated you would either not apply for an administrative percentage to support your program, or you indicated you would initially apply for less than the maximum of 7% would your future requests

---

**Q10**

**Yes biennial grants would be an option we would support**

NPS has asked if biennial ( vs annual ) LWCF administrative grants would be a viable option.

---

**Q11**

Describe any challenges you face that limit the extent of the support you need to properly administer your LWCF responsibilities.

Staffing is our primary challenge, but we also have limited general funds available to match LWCF. The required 50% match for the admin grant is the limiting factor in how much Nevada could apply for and represents a significant challenge.

---

**Q12**

**May 1st Application for fiscal year beginning in July through the following June.**

Please rate your preferences for the most optimal timing for applications and period for the annual administrative grant.

---

# #16

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Wednesday, May 04, 2022 2:31:29 PM  
**Last Modified:** Wednesday, May 04, 2022 3:20:55 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:49:26  
**IP Address:** 167.192.187.110

---

Page 1

## Q1

Please list the State or Territory represented by the following responses

Georgia

---

## Q2

Yes

Assuming Congress approves up to 7% for State/Territorial Administrative Grants as proposed in the Administration's FY 23 Budget are you likely to participate

---

## Q3

As soon as it is available in 2023.

If Yes, how soon would you submit an application for the administrative grant?

---

## Q4

Other (please specify):

For those of you planning to submit an administrative grant request initially to cover a year of expenses, what % of your apportionment would you need ?

In the beginning it would be approx 2.5% - 3%, but would increase to allow for better management of the program

---

## Q5

Based on the percentage estimate in questions 4 and your current apportionment amount, what would be the estimated total dollar amount you would request for administration of the LWCF program covered by the grant.

Approx. \$125,000 (based on \$5,500,000 apportionment)

---

## Q6

If you are planning to submit an administrative grant request what would you estimate to be the total dollar amount of this grant described in Question 5 dedicated to staffing the program?

Approx. \$110,000 would go to salaries and fringes

---

**Q7**

NPS has suggested the maximum federal share for administrative grants be capped at \$ 500,000. On a scale of 5 being strongly agree to 1 being strongly disagree, please respond below.

**2 Disagree,**

Other (please specify):  
depends on staff size, size of state to travel, also NPS can either approve or deny the grant when presented.

**Q8**

NASORLO has advocated there should be a minimum staffing level for the LWCF program of three FTE. A Program Manager – to oversee all aspects of LWCF delivery and stewardship, an Operations Manager – to perform ongoing inspections, pre-approvals, program promotion and active grant management, and a Compliance Manager – to concentrate on resolving conversions and lesser compliance issues. Is this recommendation?

**Appropriate,**

Other (please specify):  
Should be example, maybe not required for all states

**Q9**

If you indicated you would either not apply for an administrative percentage to support your program, or you indicated you would initially apply for less than the maximum of 7% would your future requests

**Increase towards the 7% as staffing increases**

**Q10**

NPS has asked if biennial ( vs annual ) LWCF administrative grants would be a viable option.

**Yes biennial grants would be an option we would support**

,  
Other (please specify):  
allows for time to plan and implement new grant management strategies

**Q11**

Describe any challenges you face that limit the extent of the support you need to properly administer your LWCF responsibilities.

Current staff levels allows for maintaining the current grant load.  
No staff/time for planning ahead or efficiently adapting to new processes and procedures.

**Q12**

Please rate your preferences for the most optimal timing for applications and period for the annual administrative grant.

Other (please specify):  
Our fiscal year begins July 1; however, the critical matter is when the grant would be approved. If approved in January/February for a July start date, states could better plan for the year.



#17

COMPLETE

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Wednesday, May 04, 2022 11:51:47 PM  
**Last Modified:** Wednesday, May 04, 2022 11:59:43 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:07:56  
**IP Address:** 202.88.84.40

---

Page 1

**Q1**

Please list the State or Territory represented by the following responses

Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands

---

**Q2**

**Yes**

Assuming Congress approves up to 7% for State/Territorial Administrative Grants as proposed in the Administration's FY 23 Budget are you likely to participate

---

**Q3**

**As soon as it is available in 2023.**

If Yes, how soon would you submit an application for the administrative grant?

---

**Q4**

**The full 7 % of our apportionment**

For those of you planning to submit an administrative grant request initially to cover a year of expenses, what % of your apportionment would you need ?

---

**Q5**

Based on the percentage estimate in questions 4 and your current apportionment amount, what would be the estimated total dollar amount you would request for administration of the LWCF program covered by the grant.

120000

---

**Q6**

If you are planning to submit an administrative grant request what would you estimate to be the total dollar amount of this grant described in Question 5 dedicated to staffing the program?

80000

---

**Q7**

**4 Agree**

NPS has suggested the maximum federal share for administrative grants be capped at \$ 500,000. On a scale of 5 being strongly agree to 1 being strongly disagree, please respond below.

---

**Q8**

**Appropriate**

NASORLO has advocated there should be a minimum staffing level for the LWCF program of three FTE. A Program Manager – to oversee all aspects of LWCF delivery and stewardship, an Operations Manager – to perform ongoing inspections, pre-approvals, program promotion and active grant management, and a Compliance Manager – to concentrate on resolving conversions and lesser compliance issues. Is this recommendation?

---

**Q9**

**Stay at the same %.**

If you indicated you would either not apply for an administrative percentage to support your program, or you indicated you would initially apply for less than the maximum of 7% would your future requests

---

**Q10**

**Yes biennial grants would be an option we would support**

NPS has asked if biennial ( vs annual ) LWCF administrative grants would be a viable option.

---

**Q11**

Describe any challenges you face that limit the extent of the support you need to properly administer your LWCF responsibilities.

For the CNMI, we lack the capacity (human resources) to assist with LWCF projects. We need administrative funding.

---

**Q12**

**July 1st Application for fiscal year beginning in October through the following September.**

Please rate your preferences for the most optimal timing for applications and period for the annual administrative grant.

---

# #18

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Thursday, May 05, 2022 7:48:06 AM  
**Last Modified:** Thursday, May 05, 2022 7:54:24 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:06:18  
**IP Address:** 129.71.207.154

---

Page 1

## Q1

Please list the State or Territory represented by the following responses

WV

---

## Q2

**Yes**

Assuming Congress approves up to 7% for State/Territorial Administrative Grants as proposed in the Administration's FY 23 Budget are you likely to participate

---

## Q3

**As soon as it is available in 2023.**

If Yes, how soon would you submit an application for the administrative grant?

---

## Q4

**The full 7 % of our apportionment**

For those of you planning to submit an administrative grant request initially to cover a year of expenses, what % of your apportionment would you need ?

---

## Q5

Based on the percentage estimate in questions 4 and your current apportionment amount, what would be the estimated total dollar amount you would request for administration of the LWCF program covered by the grant.

\$70,000

---

## Q6

If you are planning to submit an administrative grant request what would you estimate to be the total dollar amount of this grant described in Question 5 dedicated to staffing the program?

100%

---

**Q7**

**5 Strongly Agree**

NPS has suggested the maximum federal share for administrative grants be capped at \$ 500,000. On a scale of 5 being strongly agree to 1 being strongly disagree, please respond below.

---

**Q8**

**Appropriate**

NASORLO has advocated there should be a minimum staffing level for the LWCF program of three FTE. A Program Manager – to oversee all aspects of LWCF delivery and stewardship, an Operations Manager – to perform ongoing inspections, pre-approvals, program promotion and active grant management, and a Compliance Manager – to concentrate on resolving conversions and lesser compliance issues. Is this recommendation?

---

**Q9**

**Stay at the same %.**

If you indicated you would either not apply for an administrative percentage to support your program, or you indicated you would initially apply for less than the maximum of 7% would your future requests

---

**Q10**

**No, biennial grants would not be a viable option for us**

NPS has asked if biennial ( vs annual ) LWCF administrative grants would be a viable option.

---

**Q11**

Describe any challenges you face that limit the extent of the support you need to properly administer your LWCF responsibilities.

Would rather see 100% admin support, not 50%/match based--NPS demanded daily/hourly time sheets to justify a prior admin grant--a real pain, given the request made retroactively.

---

**Q12**

**November 1st Application for the fiscal year beginning in January through the following December.**

Please rate your preferences for the most optimal timing for applications and period for the annual administrative grant.

---

#19

COMPLETE

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Thursday, May 05, 2022 7:54:35 AM  
**Last Modified:** Thursday, May 05, 2022 8:01:58 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:07:22  
**IP Address:** 161.11.160.164

---

Page 1

**Q1**

Please list the State or Territory represented by the following responses

New York State

---

**Q2**

**Yes**

Assuming Congress approves up to 7% for State/Territorial Administrative Grants as proposed in the Administration's FY 23 Budget are you likely to participate

---

**Q3**

**As soon as it is available in 2023.**

If Yes, how soon would you submit an application for the administrative grant?

---

**Q4**

**Less than 3 % of our apportionment**

For those of you planning to submit an administrative grant request initially to cover a year of expenses, what % of your apportionment would you need ?

---

**Q5**

Based on the percentage estimate in questions 4 and your current apportionment amount, what would be the estimated total dollar amount you would request for administration of the LWCF program covered by the grant.

\$345,000.00

---

**Q6**

If you are planning to submit an administrative grant request what would you estimate to be the total dollar amount of this grant described in Question 5 dedicated to staffing the program?

\$150,000.00

---

**Q7**

**1 Strongly Disagree**

NPS has suggested the maximum federal share for administrative grants be capped at \$ 500,000. On a scale of 5 being strongly agree to 1 being strongly disagree, please respond below.

---

**Q8**

**Too few,**

NASORLO has advocated there should be a minimum staffing level for the LWCF program of three FTE. A Program Manager – to oversee all aspects of LWCF delivery and stewardship, an Operations Manager – to perform ongoing inspections, pre-approvals, program promotion and active grant management, and a Compliance Manager – to concentrate on resolving conversions and lesser compliance issues. Is this recommendation?

Other (please specify):

Technical (GIS) and Admin (Clerical) Staff are needed

---

**Q9**

**Increase towards the 7% as staffing increases**

If you indicated you would either not apply for an administrative percentage to support your program, or you indicated you would initially apply for less than the maximum of 7% would your future requests

---

**Q10**

**Yes biennial grants would be an option we would support**

NPS has asked if biennial ( vs annual ) LWCF administrative grants would be a viable option.

---

**Q11**

Describe any challenges you face that limit the extent of the support you need to properly administer your LWCF responsibilities.

Administrative and program related staff shortages. Current data management systems are antiquated and lack of staff to keep up with program changes.

---

**Q12**

**May 1st Application for fiscal year beginning in July through the following June.**

Please rate your preferences for the most optimal timing for applications and period for the annual administrative grant.

---

#20

COMPLETE

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Thursday, May 05, 2022 7:54:04 AM  
**Last Modified:** Thursday, May 05, 2022 8:09:49 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:15:45  
**IP Address:** 164.165.230.26

---

Page 1

**Q1**

Please list the State or Territory represented by the following responses

Idaho

---

**Q2**

**Yes**

Assuming Congress approves up to 7% for State/Territorial Administrative Grants as proposed in the Administration's FY 23 Budget are you likely to participate

---

**Q3**

**As soon as it is available in 2023.**

If Yes, how soon would you submit an application for the administrative grant?

---

**Q4**

**The full 7 % of our apportionment**

For those of you planning to submit an administrative grant request initially to cover a year of expenses, what % of your apportionment would you need ?

---

**Q5**

Based on the percentage estimate in questions 4 and your current apportionment amount, what would be the estimated total dollar amount you would request for administration of the LWCF program covered by the grant.

\$210,000

---

**Q6**

If you are planning to submit an administrative grant request what would you estimate to be the total dollar amount of this grant described in Question 5 dedicated to staffing the program?

unknown

---

**Q7**

NPS has suggested the maximum federal share for administrative grants be capped at \$ 500,000. On a scale of 5 being strongly agree to 1 being strongly disagree, please respond below.

Other (please specify):

We need funds for more than salaries

---

**Q8**

NASORLO has advocated there should be a minimum staffing level for the LWCF program of three FTE. A Program Manager – to oversee all aspects of LWCF delivery and stewardship, an Operations Manager – to perform ongoing inspections, pre-approvals, program promotion and active grant management, and a Compliance Manager – to concentrate on resolving conversions and lesser compliance issues. Is this recommendation?

**Appropriate**

---

**Q9**

If you indicated you would either not apply for an administrative percentage to support your program, or you indicated you would initially apply for less than the maximum of 7% would your future requests

**Do not know at this time**

---

**Q10**

NPS has asked if biennial ( vs annual ) LWCF administrative grants would be a viable option.

**No, biennial grants would not be a viable option for us**

---

**Q11**

Describe any challenges you face that limit the extent of the support you need to properly administer your LWCF responsibilities.

I'm the only staff doing everything for LWCF AND running 8 other grant programs. Lack of time and support (guidance/training) from NPS

---

**Q12**

Please rate your preferences for the most optimal timing for applications and period for the annual administrative grant.

**July 1st Application for fiscal year beginning in October through the following September.**

---



#21

COMPLETE

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Thursday, May 05, 2022 9:52:25 AM  
**Last Modified:** Thursday, May 05, 2022 9:59:11 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:06:45  
**IP Address:** 204.64.0.167

---

Page 1

**Q1**

Please list the State or Territory represented by the following responses

Texas

---

**Q2**

**Yes**

Assuming Congress approves up to 7% for State/Territorial Administrative Grants as proposed in the Administration's FY 23 Budget are you likely to participate

---

**Q3**

**Unknown at this time**

If Yes, how soon would you submit an application for the administrative grant?

---

**Q4**

**Less than 3 % of our apportionment**

For those of you planning to submit an administrative grant request initially to cover a year of expenses, what % of your apportionment would you need ?

---

**Q5**

Based on the percentage estimate in questions 4 and your current apportionment amount, what would be the estimated total dollar amount you would request for administration of the LWCF program covered by the grant.

Unsure

---

**Q6**

If you are planning to submit an administrative grant request what would you estimate to be the total dollar amount of this grant described in Question 5 dedicated to staffing the program?

Unsure

---

**Q7**

**2 Disagree**

NPS has suggested the maximum federal share for administrative grants be capped at \$ 500,000. On a scale of 5 being strongly agree to 1 being strongly disagree, please respond below.

---

**Q8**

**Appropriate,**

NASORLO has advocated there should be a minimum staffing level for the LWCF program of three FTE. A Program Manager – to oversee all aspects of LWCF delivery and stewardship, an Operations Manager – to perform ongoing inspections, pre-approvals, program promotion and active grant management, and a Compliance Manager – to concentrate on resolving conversions and lesser compliance issues. Is this recommendation?

Other (please specify):

Texas would need more but we are a big state.

---

**Q9**

**Do not know at this time**

If you indicated you would either not apply for an administrative percentage to support your program, or you indicated you would initially apply for less than the maximum of 7% would your future requests

---

**Q10**

**Need more information**

NPS has asked if biennial ( vs annual ) LWCF administrative grants would be a viable option.

---

**Q11**

Describe any challenges you face that limit the extent of the support you need to properly administer your LWCF responsibilities.

Our state legislature capps the number of employees we are allowed to hire, regardless if we have federal funds to pay for it.

---

**Q12**

**November 1st Application for the fiscal year beginning in January through the following December.**

Please rate your preferences for the most optimal timing for applications and period for the annual administrative grant.

---

#22

COMPLETE

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Thursday, May 05, 2022 10:19:52 AM  
**Last Modified:** Thursday, May 05, 2022 10:31:45 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:11:53  
**IP Address:** 76.114.3.29

---

Page 1

**Q1**

Please list the State or Territory represented by the following responses

California

---

**Q2**

**Yes**

Assuming Congress approves up to 7% for State/Territorial Administrative Grants as proposed in the Administration's FY 23 Budget are you likely to participate

---

**Q3**

**As soon as it is available in 2023.**

If Yes, how soon would you submit an application for the administrative grant?

---

**Q4**

**The full 7 % of our apportionment**

For those of you planning to submit an administrative grant request initially to cover a year of expenses, what % of your apportionment would you need ?

---

**Q5**

Based on the percentage estimate in questions 4 and your current apportionment amount, what would be the estimated total dollar amount you would request for administration of the LWCF program covered by the grant.

\$1.4 million

---

**Q6**

If you are planning to submit an administrative grant request what would you estimate to be the total dollar amount of this grant described in Question 5 dedicated to staffing the program?

\$1.4 million

---

**Q7**

**1 Strongly Disagree**

NPS has suggested the maximum federal share for administrative grants be capped at \$ 500,000. On a scale of 5 being strongly agree to 1 being strongly disagree, please respond below.

---

**Q8**

**Too few**

NASORLO has advocated there should be a minimum staffing level for the LWCF program of three FTE. A Program Manager – to oversee all aspects of LWCF delivery and stewardship, an Operations Manager – to perform ongoing inspections, pre-approvals, program promotion and active grant management, and a Compliance Manager – to concentrate on resolving conversions and lesser compliance issues. Is this recommendation?

---

**Q9**

**Respondent skipped this question**

If you indicated you would either not apply for an administrative percentage to support your program, or you indicated you would initially apply for less than the maximum of 7% would your future requests

---

**Q10**

**No, biennial grants would not be a viable option for us**

NPS has asked if biennial ( vs annual ) LWCF administrative grants would be a viable option.

---

**Q11**

Describe any challenges you face that limit the extent of the support you need to properly administer your LWCF responsibilities.

Due to lack of a predictable administrative support funding amount from the annual LWCF apportionment, California lacks full-time positions available to administer LWCF. Employees currently administer 10 other grant programs in addition to LWCF. However, LWCF workload includes adherence to the LWCF manual (which tends to change annually), outreach to solicit applications, providing technical assistance to potential local sponsors so they can understand all LWCF requirements, reviewing competitive applications, completing federal Section 106 cultural/historic/environmental reviews for recommended projects, routing recommended projects through NPS, administering and inspecting approved grant projects, and ensuring compliance in perpetuity for more than 1,000 LWCF-funded parks statewide.

---

**Q12**

**May 1st Application for fiscal year beginning in July through the following June.**

Please rate your preferences for the most optimal timing for applications and period for the annual administrative grant.

---

#23

COMPLETE

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Thursday, May 05, 2022 9:58:53 AM  
**Last Modified:** Thursday, May 05, 2022 10:33:14 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:34:20  
**IP Address:** 8.20.65.4

---

Page 1

**Q1**

Please list the State or Territory represented by the following responses

Pennsylvania

---

**Q2**

**Yes**

Assuming Congress approves up to 7% for State/Territorial Administrative Grants as proposed in the Administration's FY 23 Budget are you likely to participate

---

**Q3**

**As soon as it is available in 2023.**

If Yes, how soon would you submit an application for the administrative grant?

---

**Q4**

**Only 3-4 % of our apportionment**

For those of you planning to submit an administrative grant request initially to cover a year of expenses, what % of your apportionment would you need ?

---

**Q5**

Based on the percentage estimate in questions 4 and your current apportionment amount, what would be the estimated total dollar amount you would request for administration of the LWCF program covered by the grant.

\$565,710 (\$282,855 LWCF grant request)

---

**Q6**

If you are planning to submit an administrative grant request what would you estimate to be the total dollar amount of this grant described in Question 5 dedicated to staffing the program?

\$282,855

---

**Q7**

**3 Neutral**

NPS has suggested the maximum federal share for administrative grants be capped at \$ 500,000. On a scale of 5 being strongly agree to 1 being strongly disagree, please respond below.

---

**Q8**

**Appropriate**

NASORLO has advocated there should be a minimum staffing level for the LWCF program of three FTE. A Program Manager – to oversee all aspects of LWCF delivery and stewardship, an Operations Manager – to perform ongoing inspections, pre-approvals, program promotion and active grant management, and a Compliance Manager – to concentrate on resolving conversions and lesser compliance issues. Is this recommendation?

---

**Q9**

**Increase towards the 7% as staffing increases**

If you indicated you would either not apply for an administrative percentage to support your program, or you indicated you would initially apply for less than the maximum of 7% would your future requests

---

**Q10**

**Need more information**

NPS has asked if biennial ( vs annual ) LWCF administrative grants would be a viable option.

---

**Q11**

Describe any challenges you face that limit the extent of the support you need to properly administer your LWCF responsibilities.

None known at this time, if this question is directed toward state level support of the program.

---

**Q12**

**May 1st Application for fiscal year beginning in July through the following June.**

Please rate your preferences for the most optimal timing for applications and period for the annual administrative grant.

---

#24

COMPLETE

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Thursday, May 05, 2022 11:45:41 AM  
**Last Modified:** Thursday, May 05, 2022 11:52:04 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:06:22  
**IP Address:** 199.73.152.136

---

Page 1

**Q1**

Please list the State or Territory represented by the following responses

Florida

---

**Q2**

**Yes**

Assuming Congress approves up to 7% for State/Territorial Administrative Grants as proposed in the Administration's FY 23 Budget are you likely to participate

---

**Q3**

**As soon as it is available in 2023.**

If Yes, how soon would you submit an application for the administrative grant?

---

**Q4**

**Less than 3 % of our apportionment**

For those of you planning to submit an administrative grant request initially to cover a year of expenses, what % of your apportionment would you need ?

---

**Q5**

Based on the percentage estimate in questions 4 and your current apportionment amount, what would be the estimated total dollar amount you would request for administration of the LWCF program covered by the grant.

200,000

---

**Q6**

If you are planning to submit an administrative grant request what would you estimate to be the total dollar amount of this grant described in Question 5 dedicated to staffing the program?

200,000

---

**Q7**

**3 Neutral**

NPS has suggested the maximum federal share for administrative grants be capped at \$ 500,000. On a scale of 5 being strongly agree to 1 being strongly disagree, please respond below.

---

**Q8**

**Too few**

NASORLO has advocated there should be a minimum staffing level for the LWCF program of three FTE. A Program Manager – to oversee all aspects of LWCF delivery and stewardship, an Operations Manager – to perform ongoing inspections, pre-approvals, program promotion and active grant management, and a Compliance Manager – to concentrate on resolving conversions and lesser compliance issues. Is this recommendation?

---

**Q9**

**Increase towards the 7% as staffing increases**

If you indicated you would either not apply for an administrative percentage to support your program, or you indicated you would initially apply for less than the maximum of 7% would your future requests

---

**Q10**

**Yes biennial grants would be an option we would support**

NPS has asked if biennial ( vs annual ) LWCF administrative grants would be a viable option.

---

**Q11**

Describe any challenges you face that limit the extent of the support you need to properly administer your LWCF responsibilities.

Salary dollars

---

**Q12**

**May 1st Application for fiscal year beginning in July through the following June.**

Please rate your preferences for the most optimal timing for applications and period for the annual administrative grant.

---



#25

COMPLETE

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Thursday, May 05, 2022 1:01:10 PM  
**Last Modified:** Thursday, May 05, 2022 1:15:11 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:14:00  
**IP Address:** 156.98.167.157

---

Page 1

**Q1**

Please list the State or Territory represented by the following responses

Minnesota

---

**Q2**

**Yes**

Assuming Congress approves up to 7% for State/Territorial Administrative Grants as proposed in the Administration's FY 23 Budget are you likely to participate

---

**Q3**

**Unknown at this time**

If Yes, how soon would you submit an application for the administrative grant?

---

**Q4**

**Less than 3 % of our apportionment**

For those of you planning to submit an administrative grant request initially to cover a year of expenses, what % of your apportionment would you need ?

---

**Q5**

Based on the percentage estimate in questions 4 and your current apportionment amount, what would be the estimated total dollar amount you would request for administration of the LWCF program covered by the grant.

\$250,000 total administration, \$125,000 grant, if matching

---

**Q6**

If you are planning to submit an administrative grant request what would you estimate to be the total dollar amount of this grant described in Question 5 dedicated to staffing the program?

\$200,000/ \$100,000

---

**Q7**

NPS has suggested the maximum federal share for administrative grants be capped at \$ 500,000. On a scale of 5 being strongly agree to 1 being strongly disagree, please respond below.

**5 Strongly Agree,**

Other (please specify):

I would think that would be especially if that is based on \$1 million costs.

---

**Q8**

NASORLO has advocated there should be a minimum staffing level for the LWCF program of three FTE. A Program Manager – to oversee all aspects of LWCF delivery and stewardship, an Operations Manager – to perform ongoing inspections, pre-approvals, program promotion and active grant management, and a Compliance Manager – to concentrate on resolving conversions and lesser compliance issues. Is this recommendation?

**Appropriate**

---

**Q9**

If you indicated you would either not apply for an administrative percentage to support your program, or you indicated you would initially apply for less than the maximum of 7% would your future requests

**Do not know at this time**

---

**Q10**

NPS has asked if biennial ( vs annual ) LWCF administrative grants would be a viable option.

**Yes biennial grants would be an option we would support**

---

**Q11**

Describe any challenges you face that limit the extent of the support you need to properly administer your LWCF responsibilities.

Ongoing compliance inspection/conversions growing significantly, active projects increasing.

---

**Q12**

Please rate your preferences for the most optimal timing for applications and period for the annual administrative grant.

**May 1st Application for fiscal year beginning in July through the following June.**

---

#26

COMPLETE

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Thursday, May 05, 2022 12:52:37 PM  
**Last Modified:** Thursday, May 05, 2022 1:29:00 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:36:22  
**IP Address:** 164.119.5.124

---

Page 1

**Q1**

Please list the State or Territory represented by the following responses

Nebraska

---

**Q2**

**Yes**

Assuming Congress approves up to 7% for State/Territorial Administrative Grants as proposed in the Administration's FY 23 Budget are you likely to participate

---

**Q3**

**As soon as it is available in 2023.**

If Yes, how soon would you submit an application for the administrative grant?

---

**Q4**

**Less than 3 % of our apportionment**

For those of you planning to submit an administrative grant request initially to cover a year of expenses, what % of your apportionment would you need ?

---

**Q5**

Based on the percentage estimate in questions 4 and your current apportionment amount, what would be the estimated total dollar amount you would request for administration of the LWCF program covered by the grant.

78,500

---

**Q6**

If you are planning to submit an administrative grant request what would you estimate to be the total dollar amount of this grant described in Question 5 dedicated to staffing the program?

78,500

---

**Q7**

**3 Neutral**

NPS has suggested the maximum federal share for administrative grants be capped at \$ 500,000. On a scale of 5 being strongly agree to 1 being strongly disagree, please respond below.

---

**Q8**

**Too large,**

NASORLO has advocated there should be a minimum staffing level for the LWCF program of three FTE. A Program Manager – to oversee all aspects of LWCF delivery and stewardship, an Operations Manager – to perform ongoing inspections, pre-approvals, program promotion and active grant management, and a Compliance Manager – to concentrate on resolving conversions and lesser compliance issues. Is this recommendation?

Other (please specify):

For our agency and the amount of grant funds and projects we administer, there is not really a need at this time to have a three people system as provided.

---

**Q9**

**Do not know at this time**

If you indicated you would either not apply for an administrative percentage to support your program, or you indicated you would initially apply for less than the maximum of 7% would your future requests

---

**Q10**

**No, biennial grants would not be a viable option for us**

NPS has asked if biennial ( vs annual ) LWCF administrative grants would be a viable option.

---

**Q11**

Describe any challenges you face that limit the extent of the support you need to properly administer your LWCF responsibilities.

Effective, frequent, standardized, efficient and timely training and guidance FROM NPS staff to administer the program. Timely concurrence and approval of new grants and amendments (includes both active and stewardship amendments).

---

**Q12**

**May 1st Application for fiscal year beginning in July through the following June.**

Please rate your preferences for the most optimal timing for applications and period for the annual administrative grant.

---

#27

COMPLETE

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Wednesday, May 04, 2022 6:07:12 PM  
**Last Modified:** Thursday, May 05, 2022 2:56:33 PM  
**Time Spent:** 20:49:20  
**IP Address:** 198.238.202.135

---

Page 1

**Q1**

Please list the State or Territory represented by the following responses

Washington State

---

**Q2**

**Yes**

Assuming Congress approves up to 7% for State/Territorial Administrative Grants as proposed in the Administration's FY 23 Budget are you likely to participate

---

**Q3**

**As soon as it is available in 2023.**

If Yes, how soon would you submit an application for the administrative grant?

---

**Q4**

**The full 7 % of our apportionment**

For those of you planning to submit an administrative grant request initially to cover a year of expenses, what % of your apportionment would you need ?

---

**Q5**

Based on the percentage estimate in questions 4 and your current apportionment amount, what would be the estimated total dollar amount you would request for administration of the LWCF program covered by the grant.

\$280,000

---

**Q6**

If you are planning to submit an administrative grant request what would you estimate to be the total dollar amount of this grant described in Question 5 dedicated to staffing the program?

\$200,000

---

**Q7**

NPS has suggested the maximum federal share for administrative grants be capped at \$ 500,000. On a scale of 5 being strongly agree to 1 being strongly disagree, please respond below.

**2 Disagree,**

Other (please specify):

We want to use the full percent, regardless of the total.

**Q8**

NASORLO has advocated there should be a minimum staffing level for the LWCF program of three FTE. A Program Manager – to oversee all aspects of LWCF delivery and stewardship, an Operations Manager – to perform ongoing inspections, pre-approvals, program promotion and active grant management, and a Compliance Manager – to concentrate on resolving conversions and lesser compliance issues. Is this recommendation?

**Appropriate,**

Other (please specify):

Appropriate, however, each state should be making that decision based on its needs.

**Q9**

If you indicated you would either not apply for an administrative percentage to support your program, or you indicated you would initially apply for less than the maximum of 7% would your future requests

**Respondent skipped this question**

**Q10**

NPS has asked if biennial ( vs annual ) LWCF administrative grants would be a viable option.

**Yes biennial grants would be an option we would support**

**Q11**

Describe any challenges you face that limit the extent of the support you need to properly administer your LWCF responsibilities.

We work had to efficiently administer the program. Additional funding would allow for dedicated staff to keep abreast of the complex and ever-changing program requirements. It could potentially provide the funds we need to modify our database to keep better track of our compliance work and the detailed financial reporting this program requires. The key challenge right now is slow responses from NPS. We experience delays in receipt of federal grant awards, amendment approvals, environmental and cultural resource clearances, and more.

**Q12**

Please rate your preferences for the most optimal timing for applications and period for the annual administrative grant.

**May 1st Application for fiscal year beginning in July through the following June.**

#28

COMPLETE

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Thursday, May 05, 2022 5:17:15 PM  
**Last Modified:** Thursday, May 05, 2022 5:30:26 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:13:11  
**IP Address:** 67.160.167.132

---

Page 1

**Q1**

Please list the State or Territory represented by the following responses

Oregon

---

**Q2**

**Yes**

Assuming Congress approves up to 7% for State/Territorial Administrative Grants as proposed in the Administration's FY 23 Budget are you likely to participate

---

**Q3**

**As soon as it is available in 2023.**

If Yes, how soon would you submit an application for the administrative grant?

---

**Q4**

**The full 7 % of our apportionment**

For those of you planning to submit an administrative grant request initially to cover a year of expenses, what % of your apportionment would you need ?

---

**Q5**

Based on the percentage estimate in questions 4 and your current apportionment amount, what would be the estimated total dollar amount you would request for administration of the LWCF program covered by the grant.

Based on the most recent apportionment \$306,924

---

**Q6**

If you are planning to submit an administrative grant request what would you estimate to be the total dollar amount of this grant described in Question 5 dedicated to staffing the program?

\$306,924 - all of it will not cover all related staffing expenses

---

**Q7**

**1 Strongly Disagree**

NPS has suggested the maximum federal share for administrative grants be capped at \$ 500,000. On a scale of 5 being strongly agree to 1 being strongly disagree, please respond below.

---

**Q8**

**Appropriate**

NASORLO has advocated there should be a minimum staffing level for the LWCF program of three FTE. A Program Manager – to oversee all aspects of LWCF delivery and stewardship, an Operations Manager – to perform ongoing inspections, pre-approvals, program promotion and active grant management, and a Compliance Manager – to concentrate on resolving conversions and lesser compliance issues. Is this recommendation?

---

**Q9**

**Respondent skipped this question**

If you indicated you would either not apply for an administrative percentage to support your program, or you indicated you would initially apply for less than the maximum of 7% would your future requests

---

**Q10**

**Need more information,**

NPS has asked if biennial ( vs annual ) LWCF administrative grants would be a viable option.

Other (please specify):

It is possible Will these grants have an expiration date, and if so how long does the state have to obligate and/or expend the funds? What types of costs are eligible and ineligible (e.g. GIS staff time to work on mapping, other expenses not incurred directly by program staff, trainings, etc.)

---

**Q11**

Describe any challenges you face that limit the extent of the support you need to properly administer your LWCF responsibilities.

Do you mean with overall program? If so, Untimely responses from NPS, differing interpretations of the LWCF manual and LWCF requirements, lack of adequate staffing both at the federal and state level, not enough training or guidance from NPS, added NPS compliance reviews during the pre-application phase with little communication back to the state.

If you are asking about other challenges, we need more positions. We have to go to the legislature to request additional positions. We have to identify a funding source in order to request positions. If we had a predictable steady source of funding (LWCF Admin) it would help getting positions. Ultimately, Oregon has 1500+ grants and this is not a small state geographically speaking. We have one person dedicated to grants and compliance. It is impossible to keep up, particularly during the grant cycle. We have to prioritize grants during the cycle and compliance items languish.

---



**Q12**

Please rate your preferences for the most optimal timing for applications and period for the annual administrative grant.

**May 1st Application for fiscal year beginning in July through the following June.**

---

#29

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Thursday, May 05, 2022 5:41:19 PM  
**Last Modified:** Thursday, May 05, 2022 5:49:54 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:08:34  
**IP Address:** 73.20.27.135

---

Page 1

**Q1**

Please list the State or Territory represented by the following responses

Utah

---

**Q2**

**Yes**

Assuming Congress approves up to 7% for State/Territorial Administrative Grants as proposed in the Administration's FY 23 Budget are you likely to participate

---

**Q3**

**As soon as it is available in 2023.**

If Yes, how soon would you submit an application for the administrative grant?

---

**Q4**

**The full 7 % of our apportionment**

For those of you planning to submit an administrative grant request initially to cover a year of expenses, what % of your apportionment would you need ?

---

**Q5**

Based on the percentage estimate in questions 4 and your current apportionment amount, what would be the estimated total dollar amount you would request for administration of the LWCF program covered by the grant.

\$260,000

---

**Q6**

If you are planning to submit an administrative grant request what would you estimate to be the total dollar amount of this grant described in Question 5 dedicated to staffing the program?

\$250,000

---

**Q7**

**4 Agree**

NPS has suggested the maximum federal share for administrative grants be capped at \$ 500,000. On a scale of 5 being strongly agree to 1 being strongly disagree, please respond below.

---

**Q8**

**Appropriate**

NASORLO has advocated there should be a minimum staffing level for the LWCF program of three FTE. A Program Manager – to oversee all aspects of LWCF delivery and stewardship, an Operations Manager – to perform ongoing inspections, pre-approvals, program promotion and active grant management, and a Compliance Manager – to concentrate on resolving conversions and lesser compliance issues. Is this recommendation?

---

**Q9**

**Respondent skipped this question**

If you indicated you would either not apply for an administrative percentage to support your program, or you indicated you would initially apply for less than the maximum of 7% would your future requests

---

**Q10**

**Yes biennial grants would be an option we would support**

NPS has asked if biennial ( vs annual ) LWCF administrative grants would be a viable option.

---

**Q11**

Describe any challenges you face that limit the extent of the support you need to properly administer your LWCF responsibilities.

We have not been able to hire an FTE to administer the LWCF So Utah has made it a low priority. Given the ability to draw on a 7% for administrative costs, it would be a game-changer. BUT, if the NPS makes it a bureaucratic hurdle to get that funding, we will have to wonder if it's worth it.

---

**Q12**

**July 1st Application for fiscal year beginning in October through the following September.**

Please rate your preferences for the most optimal timing for applications and period for the annual administrative grant.

---

#30

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Friday, May 06, 2022 8:43:53 AM  
**Last Modified:** Friday, May 06, 2022 10:15:04 AM  
**Time Spent:** 01:31:11  
**IP Address:** 165.201.97.86

---

Page 1

**Q1**

Please list the State or Territory represented by the following responses

Kansas

---

**Q2**

**Yes**

Assuming Congress approves up to 7% for State/Territorial Administrative Grants as proposed in the Administration's FY 23 Budget are you likely to participate

---

**Q3**

**As soon as it is available in 2023.**

If Yes, how soon would you submit an application for the administrative grant?

---

**Q4**

**The full 7 % of our apportionment**

For those of you planning to submit an administrative grant request initially to cover a year of expenses, what % of your apportionment would you need ?

---

**Q5**

Based on the percentage estimate in questions 4 and your current apportionment amount, what would be the estimated total dollar amount you would request for administration of the LWCF program covered by the grant.

\$262,268.51 based off FY21 Allocation

---

**Q6**

If you are planning to submit an administrative grant request what would you estimate to be the total dollar amount of this grant described in Question 5 dedicated to staffing the program?

253,610.00

---

**Q7**

NPS has suggested the maximum federal share for administrative grants be capped at \$ 500,000. On a scale of 5 being strongly agree to 1 being strongly disagree, please respond below.

**1 Strongly Disagree,**  
Other (please specify):  
Should not be capped

**Q8**

NASORLO has advocated there should be a minimum staffing level for the LWCF program of three FTE. A Program Manager – to oversee all aspects of LWCF delivery and stewardship, an Operations Manager – to perform ongoing inspections, pre-approvals, program promotion and active grant management, and a Compliance Manager – to concentrate on resolving conversions and lesser compliance issues. Is this recommendation?

**Appropriate**

**Q9**

If you indicated you would either not apply for an administrative percentage to support your program, or you indicated you would initially apply for less than the maximum of 7% would your future requests

**Respondent skipped this question**

**Q10**

NPS has asked if biennial ( vs annual ) LWCF administrative grants would be a viable option.

**No, biennial grants would not be a viable option for us,**  
Other (please specify):  
Should be annual

**Q11**

Describe any challenges you face that limit the extent of the support you need to properly administer your LWCF responsibilities.

- Inspection
- Site Visits
- Conversions
- Grant Application Processing
- Education to grantees
- Appraisals
- Maintain GIS database

**Q12**

Please rate your preferences for the most optimal timing for applications and period for the annual administrative grant.

**November 1st Application for the fiscal year beginning in January through the following December.**

#31

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Friday, May 06, 2022 10:17:16 AM  
**Last Modified:** Friday, May 06, 2022 10:27:13 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:09:56  
**IP Address:** 165.189.255.44

---

Page 1

**Q1**

Please list the State or Territory represented by the following responses

Wisconsin

---

**Q2**

**Yes**

Assuming Congress approves up to 7% for State/Territorial Administrative Grants as proposed in the Administration's FY 23 Budget are you likely to participate

---

**Q3**

**As soon as it is available in 2023.**

If Yes, how soon would you submit an application for the administrative grant?

---

**Q4**

**The full 7 % of our apportionment**

For those of you planning to submit an administrative grant request initially to cover a year of expenses, what % of your apportionment would you need ?

---

**Q5**

Based on the percentage estimate in questions 4 and your current apportionment amount, what would be the estimated total dollar amount you would request for administration of the LWCF program covered by the grant.

\$366,832

---

**Q6**

If you are planning to submit an administrative grant request what would you estimate to be the total dollar amount of this grant described in Question 5 dedicated to staffing the program?

\$366,832

---

**Q7**

**3 Neutral**

NPS has suggested the maximum federal share for administrative grants be capped at \$ 500,000. On a scale of 5 being strongly agree to 1 being strongly disagree, please respond below.

---

**Q8**

**Appropriate**

NASORLO has advocated there should be a minimum staffing level for the LWCF program of three FTE. A Program Manager – to oversee all aspects of LWCF delivery and stewardship, an Operations Manager – to perform ongoing inspections, pre-approvals, program promotion and active grant management, and a Compliance Manager – to concentrate on resolving conversions and lesser compliance issues. Is this recommendation?

---

**Q9**

**Do not know at this time**

If you indicated you would either not apply for an administrative percentage to support your program, or you indicated you would initially apply for less than the maximum of 7% would your future requests

---

**Q10**

**Yes biennial grants would be an option we would support**

NPS has asked if biennial ( vs annual ) LWCF administrative grants would be a viable option.

---

**Q11**

Describe any challenges you face that limit the extent of the support you need to properly administer your LWCF responsibilities.

Bi-partisan agreement on LWCF funding and use.

---

**Q12**

**May 1st Application for fiscal year beginning in July through the following June.**

Please rate your preferences for the most optimal timing for applications and period for the annual administrative grant.

---

#32

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Friday, May 06, 2022 11:48:25 AM  
**Last Modified:** Friday, May 06, 2022 12:13:10 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:24:44  
**IP Address:** 167.21.141.30

---

Page 1

**Q1**

Please list the State or Territory represented by the following responses

Delaware

---

**Q2**

**Yes**

Assuming Congress approves up to 7% for State/Territorial Administrative Grants as proposed in the Administration's FY 23 Budget are you likely to participate

---

**Q3**

**As soon as it is available in 2023.**

If Yes, how soon would you submit an application for the administrative grant?

---

**Q4**

**Only 3-4 % of our apportionment**

For those of you planning to submit an administrative grant request initially to cover a year of expenses, what % of your apportionment would you need ?

---

**Q5**

Based on the percentage estimate in questions 4 and your current apportionment amount, what would be the estimated total dollar amount you would request for administration of the LWCF program covered by the grant.

\$75,000 (=50%)(dependent on NPS definition of Administration)

---

**Q6**

If you are planning to submit an administrative grant request what would you estimate to be the total dollar amount of this grant described in Question 5 dedicated to staffing the program?

\$150,000 (=100%)(including in house design and project management) only factored in staff time, not car/phone/equipment expenses

---



**Q7**

NPS has suggested the maximum federal share for administrative grants be capped at \$ 500,000. On a scale of 5 being strongly agree to 1 being strongly disagree, please respond below.

**3 Neutral,**

Other (please specify):

Once NPS sets ground rules: each state should apply based on their demonstrated need

---

**Q8**

NASORLO has advocated there should be a minimum staffing level for the LWCF program of three FTE. A Program Manager – to oversee all aspects of LWCF delivery and stewardship, an Operations Manager – to perform ongoing inspections, pre-approvals, program promotion and active grant management, and a Compliance Manager – to concentrate on resolving conversions and lesser compliance issues. Is this recommendation?

**Appropriate**

---

**Q9**

If you indicated you would either not apply for an administrative percentage to support your program, or you indicated you would initially apply for less than the maximum of 7% would your future requests

**Increase towards the 7% as staffing increases**

---

**Q10**

NPS has asked if biennial ( vs annual ) LWCF administrative grants would be a viable option.

**Need more information**

---

**Q11**

Describe any challenges you face that limit the extent of the support you need to properly administer your LWCF responsibilities.

limited staffing

---

**Q12**

Please rate your preferences for the most optimal timing for applications and period for the annual administrative grant.

**May 1st Application for fiscal year beginning in July through the following June.**

---

#33

COMPLETE

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Friday, May 06, 2022 7:40:24 AM  
**Last Modified:** Friday, May 06, 2022 2:10:53 PM  
**Time Spent:** 06:30:29  
**IP Address:** 168.166.80.226

---

Page 1

**Q1**

Please list the State or Territory represented by the following responses

Missouri

---

**Q2**

**Yes**

Assuming Congress approves up to 7% for State/Territorial Administrative Grants as proposed in the Administration's FY 23 Budget are you likely to participate

---

**Q3**

**As soon as it is available in 2023.**

If Yes, how soon would you submit an application for the administrative grant?

---

**Q4**

**Only 3-4 % of our apportionment**

For those of you planning to submit an administrative grant request initially to cover a year of expenses, what % of your apportionment would you need ?

---

**Q5**

Based on the percentage estimate in questions 4 and your current apportionment amount, what would be the estimated total dollar amount you would request for administration of the LWCF program covered by the grant.

\$235,000 (this is only the federal share; multiply be 2 for total cost)

---

**Q6**

If you are planning to submit an administrative grant request what would you estimate to be the total dollar amount of this grant described in Question 5 dedicated to staffing the program?

\$153,000 (this is only the federal share; multiply by 2 for total cost)

---

**Q7**

**2 Disagree**

NPS has suggested the maximum federal share for administrative grants be capped at \$ 500,000. On a scale of 5 being strongly agree to 1 being strongly disagree, please respond below.

---

**Q8**

**Appropriate**

NASORLO has advocated there should be a minimum staffing level for the LWCF program of three FTE. A Program Manager – to oversee all aspects of LWCF delivery and stewardship, an Operations Manager – to perform ongoing inspections, pre-approvals, program promotion and active grant management, and a Compliance Manager – to concentrate on resolving conversions and lesser compliance issues. Is this recommendation?

---

**Q9**

**Increase towards the 7% as staffing increases**

If you indicated you would either not apply for an administrative percentage to support your program, or you indicated you would initially apply for less than the maximum of 7% would your future requests

---

**Q10**

**Need more information**

NPS has asked if biennial ( vs annual ) LWCF administrative grants would be a viable option.

---

**Q11**

Describe any challenges you face that limit the extent of the support you need to properly administer your LWCF responsibilities.

We lost our appropriation authority for staffing of these positions some years ago and so we would have to work to get it restored (which may take longer to get up to the 7%).

---

**Q12**

**July 1st Application for fiscal year beginning in October through the following September.**

Please rate your preferences for the most optimal timing for applications and period for the annual administrative grant.

---

#34

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Friday, May 06, 2022 2:57:25 PM  
**Last Modified:** Friday, May 06, 2022 3:04:10 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:06:44  
**IP Address:** 170.94.179.130

---

Page 1

**Q1**

Please list the State or Territory represented by the following responses

Arkansas

---

**Q2**

**Yes**

Assuming Congress approves up to 7% for State/Territorial Administrative Grants as proposed in the Administration's FY 23 Budget are you likely to participate

---

**Q3**

**As soon as it is available in 2023.**

If Yes, how soon would you submit an application for the administrative grant?

---

**Q4**

**The full 7 % of our apportionment**

For those of you planning to submit an administrative grant request initially to cover a year of expenses, what % of your apportionment would you need ?

---

**Q5**

Based on the percentage estimate in questions 4 and your current apportionment amount, what would be the estimated total dollar amount you would request for administration of the LWCF program covered by the grant.

\$251,500.41

---

**Q6**

If you are planning to submit an administrative grant request what would you estimate to be the total dollar amount of this grant described in Question 5 dedicated to staffing the program?

~\$240,000

---

**Q7**

**3 Neutral**

NPS has suggested the maximum federal share for administrative grants be capped at \$ 500,000. On a scale of 5 being strongly agree to 1 being strongly disagree, please respond below.

---

**Q8**

**Too few**

NASORLO has advocated there should be a minimum staffing level for the LWCF program of three FTE. A Program Manager – to oversee all aspects of LWCF delivery and stewardship, an Operations Manager – to perform ongoing inspections, pre-approvals, program promotion and active grant management, and a Compliance Manager – to concentrate on resolving conversions and lesser compliance issues. Is this recommendation?

---

**Q9**

**Respondent skipped this question**

If you indicated you would either not apply for an administrative percentage to support your program, or you indicated you would initially apply for less than the maximum of 7% would your future requests

---

**Q10**

**Need more information**

NPS has asked if biennial ( vs annual ) LWCF administrative grants would be a viable option.

---

**Q11**

Describe any challenges you face that limit the extent of the support you need to properly administer your LWCF responsibilities.

Shifting compliance regimes at the Federal level.

---

**Q12**

**Other (please specify):**

Please rate your preferences for the most optimal timing for applications and period for the annual administrative grant.

No preference.

---

#35

COMPLETE

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Monday, May 09, 2022 9:10:08 AM  
**Last Modified:** Monday, May 09, 2022 9:41:57 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:31:48  
**IP Address:** 174.199.65.228

---

Page 1

**Q1**

Please list the State or Territory represented by the following responses

North Dakota

---

**Q2**

**Yes**

Assuming Congress approves up to 7% for State/Territorial Administrative Grants as proposed in the Administration's FY 23 Budget are you likely to participate

---

**Q3**

**As soon as it is available in 2023.**

If Yes, how soon would you submit an application for the administrative grant?

---

**Q4**

**The full 7 % of our apportionment**

For those of you planning to submit an administrative grant request initially to cover a year of expenses, what % of your apportionment would you need ?

---

**Q5**

Based on the percentage estimate in questions 4 and your current apportionment amount, what would be the estimated total dollar amount you would request for administration of the LWCF program covered by the grant.

\$160,000

---

**Q6**

If you are planning to submit an administrative grant request what would you estimate to be the total dollar amount of this grant described in Question 5 dedicated to staffing the program?

\$100,000

---

**Q7**

**5 Strongly Agree**

NPS has suggested the maximum federal share for administrative grants be capped at \$ 500,000. On a scale of 5 being strongly agree to 1 being strongly disagree, please respond below.

---

**Q8**

**Appropriate**

NASORLO has advocated there should be a minimum staffing level for the LWCF program of three FTE. A Program Manager – to oversee all aspects of LWCF delivery and stewardship, an Operations Manager – to perform ongoing inspections, pre-approvals, program promotion and active grant management, and a Compliance Manager – to concentrate on resolving conversions and lesser compliance issues. Is this recommendation?

---

**Q9**

**Respondent skipped this question**

If you indicated you would either not apply for an administrative percentage to support your program, or you indicated you would initially apply for less than the maximum of 7% would your future requests

---

**Q10**

**Yes biennial grants would be an option we would support**

NPS has asked if biennial ( vs annual ) LWCF administrative grants would be a viable option.

---

**Q11**

Describe any challenges you face that limit the extent of the support you need to properly administer your LWCF responsibilities.

There are numerous challenges in administering the LWCF program without administrative funding assistance. First, we are limited on the amount of dedicated staff to administer the program outside of conducting initial inspections and supporting active grantees. We have found on several occasions there are numerous eligible entities that are unaware of the program, simply because we lack the outreach capacity. Secondly, we lack sufficient budget and personnel to effectively manage our required 5 year inspection requirement on roughly 1100 active project sites. Lastly, we struggle to gain efficiencies in our database management simply from the lack of available man hours.

---

**Q12**

**May 1st Application for fiscal year beginning in July through the following June.**

Please rate your preferences for the most optimal timing for applications and period for the annual administrative grant.

---

#36

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Monday, May 09, 2022 10:55:51 AM  
**Last Modified:** Monday, May 09, 2022 11:02:16 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:06:24  
**IP Address:** 108.59.55.246

---

Page 1

**Q1**

Please list the State or Territory represented by the following responses

Indiana

---

**Q2**

**Yes**

Assuming Congress approves up to 7% for State/Territorial Administrative Grants as proposed in the Administration's FY 23 Budget are you likely to participate

---

**Q3**

**As soon as it is available in 2023.**

If Yes, how soon would you submit an application for the administrative grant?

---

**Q4**

**Less than 3 % of our apportionment**

For those of you planning to submit an administrative grant request initially to cover a year of expenses, what % of your apportionment would you need ?

---

**Q5**

Based on the percentage estimate in questions 4 and your current apportionment amount, what would be the estimated total dollar amount you would request for administration of the LWCF program covered by the grant.

\$100,000

---

**Q6**

If you are planning to submit an administrative grant request what would you estimate to be the total dollar amount of this grant described in Question 5 dedicated to staffing the program?

90%

---



**Q7**

**3 Neutral**

NPS has suggested the maximum federal share for administrative grants be capped at \$ 500,000. On a scale of 5 being strongly agree to 1 being strongly disagree, please respond below.

---

**Q8**

**Appropriate**

NASORLO has advocated there should be a minimum staffing level for the LWCF program of three FTE. A Program Manager – to oversee all aspects of LWCF delivery and stewardship, an Operations Manager – to perform ongoing inspections, pre-approvals, program promotion and active grant management, and a Compliance Manager – to concentrate on resolving conversions and lesser compliance issues. Is this recommendation?

---

**Q9**

**Increase towards the 7% as staffing increases**

If you indicated you would either not apply for an administrative percentage to support your program, or you indicated you would initially apply for less than the maximum of 7% would your future requests

---

**Q10**

**No, biennial grants would not be a viable option for us**

NPS has asked if biennial ( vs annual ) LWCF administrative grants would be a viable option.

---

**Q11**

Describe any challenges you face that limit the extent of the support you need to properly administer your LWCF responsibilities.

Staffing levels are not enough. Admin funds could help with that.

---

**Q12**

**July 1st Application for fiscal year beginning in October through the following September.**

Please rate your preferences for the most optimal timing for applications and period for the annual administrative grant.

---

#37

COMPLETE

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Tuesday, May 10, 2022 10:18:41 AM  
**Last Modified:** Tuesday, May 10, 2022 10:21:49 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:03:08  
**IP Address:** 136.181.197.68

---

Page 1

**Q1**

Please list the State or Territory represented by the following responses

Michigan

---

**Q2**

**Yes**

Assuming Congress approves up to 7% for State/Territorial Administrative Grants as proposed in the Administration's FY 23 Budget are you likely to participate

---

**Q3**

**As soon as it is available in 2023.**

If Yes, how soon would you submit an application for the administrative grant?

---

**Q4**

**The full 7 % of our apportionment**

For those of you planning to submit an administrative grant request initially to cover a year of expenses, what % of your apportionment would you need ?

---

**Q5**

Based on the percentage estimate in questions 4 and your current apportionment amount, what would be the estimated total dollar amount you would request for administration of the LWCF program covered by the grant.

\$525,000.00

---

**Q6**

If you are planning to submit an administrative grant request what would you estimate to be the total dollar amount of this grant described in Question 5 dedicated to staffing the program?

All of it.

---

**Q7**

**3 Neutral**

NPS has suggested the maximum federal share for administrative grants be capped at \$ 500,000. On a scale of 5 being strongly agree to 1 being strongly disagree, please respond below.

---

**Q8**

**Appropriate**

NASORLO has advocated there should be a minimum staffing level for the LWCF program of three FTE. A Program Manager – to oversee all aspects of LWCF delivery and stewardship, an Operations Manager – to perform ongoing inspections, pre-approvals, program promotion and active grant management, and a Compliance Manager – to concentrate on resolving conversions and lesser compliance issues. Is this recommendation?

---

**Q9**

**Respondent skipped this question**

If you indicated you would either not apply for an administrative percentage to support your program, or you indicated you would initially apply for less than the maximum of 7% would your future requests

---

**Q10**

**Need more information**

NPS has asked if biennial ( vs annual ) LWCF administrative grants would be a viable option.

---

**Q11**

**Respondent skipped this question**

Describe any challenges you face that limit the extent of the support you need to properly administer your LWCF responsibilities.

---

**Q12**

**July 1st Application for fiscal year beginning in October through the following September.**

Please rate your preferences for the most optimal timing for applications and period for the annual administrative grant.

---

#38

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Tuesday, May 10, 2022 8:08:20 PM  
**Last Modified:** Tuesday, May 10, 2022 8:23:16 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:14:55  
**IP Address:** 162.221.246.37

Page 1

**Q1**

Please list the State or Territory represented by the following responses

Hawaii

**Q2**

Assuming Congress approves up to 7% for State/Territorial Administrative Grants as proposed in the Administration's FY 23 Budget are you likely to participate

Other (please specify):

Depends if the State is able to direct the funds to the Parks program and staffing. Would require legislative approval to create new position(s).

**Q3**

If Yes, how soon would you submit an application for the administrative grant?

**Unknown at this time****Q4**

For those of you planning to submit an administrative grant request initially to cover a year of expenses, what % of your apportionment would you need ?

**Respondent skipped this question****Q5**

Based on the percentage estimate in questions 4 and your current apportionment amount, what would be the estimated total dollar amount you would request for administration of the LWCF program covered by the grant.

**Respondent skipped this question****Q6**

If you are planning to submit an administrative grant request what would you estimate to be the total dollar amount of this grant described in Question 5 dedicated to staffing the program?

**Respondent skipped this question**

**Q7**

**Respondent skipped this question**

NPS has suggested the maximum federal share for administrative grants be capped at \$ 500,000. On a scale of 5 being strongly agree to 1 being strongly disagree, please respond below.

---

**Q8**

NASORLO has advocated there should be a minimum staffing level for the LWCF program of three FTE. A Program Manager – to oversee all aspects of LWCF delivery and stewardship, an Operations Manager – to perform ongoing inspections, pre-approvals, program promotion and active grant management, and a Compliance Manager – to concentrate on resolving conversions and lesser compliance issues. Is this recommendation?

**Too large,**

Other (please specify):

May be appropriate for larger states - I think one FTE for Hawaii for now.

---

**Q9**

**Increase towards the 7% as staffing increases**

If you indicated you would either not apply for an administrative percentage to support your program, or you indicated you would initially apply for less than the maximum of 7% would your future requests

---

**Q10**

**Need more information**

NPS has asked if biennial ( vs annual ) LWCF administrative grants would be a viable option.

---

**Q11**

Describe any challenges you face that limit the extent of the support you need to properly administer your LWCF responsibilities.

Don't have a position dedicated to LWCF. Would like to see the State establish a FTE position with the admin grant but creating a position will take legislative approval and time to recruit/hire.

---

**Q12**

Please rate your preferences for the most optimal timing for applications and period for the annual administrative grant.

**May 1st Application for fiscal year beginning in July through the following June.**

---

#39

COMPLETE

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Wednesday, May 11, 2022 6:19:58 AM  
**Last Modified:** Wednesday, May 11, 2022 6:51:03 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:31:05  
**IP Address:** 167.102.37.34

---

Page 1

**Q1**

Please list the State or Territory represented by the following responses

Maryland

---

**Q2**

**No**

Assuming Congress approves up to 7% for State/Territorial Administrative Grants as proposed in the Administration's FY 23 Budget are you likely to participate

---

**Q3**

**Unknown at this time**

If Yes, how soon would you submit an application for the administrative grant?

---

**Q4**

Other (please specify):

N/A

For those of you planning to submit an administrative grant request initially to cover a year of expenses, what % of your apportionment would you need ?

---

**Q5**

Based on the percentage estimate in questions 4 and your current apportionment amount, what would be the estimated total dollar amount you would request for administration of the LWCF program covered by the grant.

N/A

---

**Q6**

If you are planning to submit an administrative grant request what would you estimate to be the total dollar amount of this grant described in Question 5 dedicated to staffing the program?

N/A

---

**Q7**

**3 Neutral**

NPS has suggested the maximum federal share for administrative grants be capped at \$ 500,000. On a scale of 5 being strongly agree to 1 being strongly disagree, please respond below.

---

**Q8**

**Appropriate**

NASORLO has advocated there should be a minimum staffing level for the LWCF program of three FTE. A Program Manager – to oversee all aspects of LWCF delivery and stewardship, an Operations Manager – to perform ongoing inspections, pre-approvals, program promotion and active grant management, and a Compliance Manager – to concentrate on resolving conversions and lesser compliance issues. Is this recommendation?

---

**Q9**

**Do not know at this time**

If you indicated you would either not apply for an administrative percentage to support your program, or you indicated you would initially apply for less than the maximum of 7% would your future requests

---

**Q10**

**Need more information**

NPS has asked if biennial ( vs annual ) LWCF administrative grants would be a viable option.

---

**Q11**

**Respondent skipped this question**

Describe any challenges you face that limit the extent of the support you need to properly administer your LWCF responsibilities.

---

**Q12**

**Respondent skipped this question**

Please rate your preferences for the most optimal timing for applications and period for the annual administrative grant.

---

#40

COMPLETE

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Wednesday, May 11, 2022 9:55:05 AM  
**Last Modified:** Wednesday, May 11, 2022 9:58:55 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:03:49  
**IP Address:** 164.64.208.92

---

Page 1

**Q1**

Please list the State or Territory represented by the following responses

NEW MEXICO

---

**Q2**

**Yes**

Assuming Congress approves up to 7% for State/Territorial Administrative Grants as proposed in the Administration's FY 23 Budget are you likely to participate

---

**Q3**

**In 2024**

If Yes, how soon would you submit an application for the administrative grant?

---

**Q4**

**The full 7 % of our apportionment**

For those of you planning to submit an administrative grant request initially to cover a year of expenses, what % of your apportionment would you need ?

---

**Q5**

Based on the percentage estimate in questions 4 and your current apportionment amount, what would be the estimated total dollar amount you would request for administration of the LWCF program covered by the grant.

25,000

---

**Q6**

If you are planning to submit an administrative grant request what would you estimate to be the total dollar amount of this grant described in Question 5 dedicated to staffing the program?

1,300,000

---



**Q7**

**3 Neutral**

NPS has suggested the maximum federal share for administrative grants be capped at \$ 500,000. On a scale of 5 being strongly agree to 1 being strongly disagree, please respond below.

---

**Q8**

**Appropriate**

NASORLO has advocated there should be a minimum staffing level for the LWCF program of three FTE. A Program Manager – to oversee all aspects of LWCF delivery and stewardship, an Operations Manager – to perform ongoing inspections, pre-approvals, program promotion and active grant management, and a Compliance Manager – to concentrate on resolving conversions and lesser compliance issues. Is this recommendation?

---

**Q9**

**Do not know at this time**

If you indicated you would either not apply for an administrative percentage to support your program, or you indicated you would initially apply for less than the maximum of 7% would your future requests

---

**Q10**

**Need more information**

NPS has asked if biennial ( vs annual ) LWCF administrative grants would be a viable option.

---

**Q11**

**Respondent skipped this question**

Describe any challenges you face that limit the extent of the support you need to properly administer your LWCF responsibilities.

---

**Q12**

**May 1st Application for fiscal year beginning in July through the following June.**

Please rate your preferences for the most optimal timing for applications and period for the annual administrative grant.

---

#41

COMPLETE

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Wednesday, May 11, 2022 10:24:36 AM  
**Last Modified:** Wednesday, May 11, 2022 10:33:20 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:08:44  
**IP Address:** 164.154.90.120

---

Page 1

**Q1**

Please list the State or Territory represented by the following responses

South Dakota

---

**Q2**

**Yes**

Assuming Congress approves up to 7% for State/Territorial Administrative Grants as proposed in the Administration's FY 23 Budget are you likely to participate

---

**Q3**

**As soon as it is available in 2023.**

If Yes, how soon would you submit an application for the administrative grant?

---

**Q4**

**The full 7 % of our apportionment**

For those of you planning to submit an administrative grant request initially to cover a year of expenses, what % of your apportionment would you need ?

---

**Q5**

Based on the percentage estimate in questions 4 and your current apportionment amount, what would be the estimated total dollar amount you would request for administration of the LWCF program covered by the grant.

uncertain at this time

---

**Q6**

If you are planning to submit an administrative grant request what would you estimate to be the total dollar amount of this grant described in Question 5 dedicated to staffing the program?

uncertain at this time

---

**Q7**

**4 Agree**

NPS has suggested the maximum federal share for administrative grants be capped at \$ 500,000. On a scale of 5 being strongly agree to 1 being strongly disagree, please respond below.

---

**Q8**

Other (please specify):

Depends on how each State operation is organized

NASORLO has advocated there should be a minimum staffing level for the LWCF program of three FTE. A Program Manager – to oversee all aspects of LWCF delivery and stewardship, an Operations Manager – to perform ongoing inspections, pre-approvals, program promotion and active grant management, and a Compliance Manager – to concentrate on resolving conversions and lesser compliance issues. Is this recommendation?

---

**Q9**

**Do not know at this time**

If you indicated you would either not apply for an administrative percentage to support your program, or you indicated you would initially apply for less than the maximum of 7% would your future requests

---

**Q10**

**Respondent skipped this question**

NPS has asked if biennial ( vs annual ) LWCF administrative grants would be a viable option.

---

**Q11**

Describe any challenges you face that limit the extent of the support you need to properly administer your LWCF responsibilities.

State has to approve additional FTE

---

**Q12**

**July 1st Application for fiscal year beginning in October through the following September.**

Please rate your preferences for the most optimal timing for applications and period for the annual administrative grant.

---

#42

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Wednesday, May 11, 2022 8:08:57 PM  
**Last Modified:** Wednesday, May 11, 2022 8:18:27 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:09:30  
**IP Address:** 158.145.14.25

---

Page 1

**Q1**

Please list the State or Territory represented by the following responses

Alaska

---

**Q2**

**Yes**

Assuming Congress approves up to 7% for State/Territorial Administrative Grants as proposed in the Administration's FY 23 Budget are you likely to participate

---

**Q3**

**As soon as it is available in 2023.**

If Yes, how soon would you submit an application for the administrative grant?

---

**Q4**

**The full 7 % of our apportionment**

For those of you planning to submit an administrative grant request initially to cover a year of expenses, what % of your apportionment would you need ?

---

**Q5**

Based on the percentage estimate in questions 4 and your current apportionment amount, what would be the estimated total dollar amount you would request for administration of the LWCF program covered by the grant.

\$175,000

---

**Q6**

If you are planning to submit an administrative grant request what would you estimate to be the total dollar amount of this grant described in Question 5 dedicated to staffing the program?

100%

---

**Q7**

**3 Neutral**

NPS has suggested the maximum federal share for administrative grants be capped at \$ 500,000. On a scale of 5 being strongly agree to 1 being strongly disagree, please respond below.

---

**Q8**

NASORLO has advocated there should be a minimum staffing level for the LWCF program of three FTE. A Program Manager – to oversee all aspects of LWCF delivery and stewardship, an Operations Manager – to perform ongoing inspections, pre-approvals, program promotion and active grant management, and a Compliance Manager – to concentrate on resolving conversions and lesser compliance issues. Is this recommendation?

**Too large,**

Other (please specify):

We have funds for one position - if NPS wants to fund 3, great

---

**Q9**

If you indicated you would either not apply for an administrative percentage to support your program, or you indicated you would initially apply for less than the maximum of 7% would your future requests

**Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q10**

NPS has asked if biennial ( vs annual ) LWCF administrative grants would be a viable option.

**No, biennial grants would not be a viable option for us,**

Other (please specify):

Only if it comes with a 8 year duration before lapse.

---

**Q11**

Describe any challenges you face that limit the extent of the support you need to properly administer your LWCF responsibilities.

LWCF label on all park acreage vs. area of investment - we are not using \$75k boat ramp improvement to LWCF encumber a 1.5 million acre state park. But that is what NPS now demands. That is stupid. So we don't use LWCF funds in parks that do not have an LWCF designation.

---

**Q12**

Please rate your preferences for the most optimal timing for applications and period for the annual administrative grant.

**May 1st Application for fiscal year beginning in July through the following June.**

---

#43

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Thursday, May 12, 2022 9:28:19 AM  
**Last Modified:** Thursday, May 12, 2022 9:36:28 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:08:09  
**IP Address:** 205.204.186.1

---

Page 1

**Q1**

Please list the State or Territory represented by the following responses

State of Kentucky

---

**Q2**

**Yes**

Assuming Congress approves up to 7% for State/Territorial Administrative Grants as proposed in the Administration's FY 23 Budget are you likely to participate

---

**Q3**

**Unknown at this time**

If Yes, how soon would you submit an application for the administrative grant?

---

**Q4**

**The full 7 % of our apportionment**

For those of you planning to submit an administrative grant request initially to cover a year of expenses, what % of your apportionment would you need ?

---

**Q5**

Based on the percentage estimate in questions 4 and your current apportionment amount, what would be the estimated total dollar amount you would request for administration of the LWCF program covered by the grant.

Approx. \$30,000

---

**Q6**

If you are planning to submit an administrative grant request what would you estimate to be the total dollar amount of this grant described in Question 5 dedicated to staffing the program?

Maybe 50 - 75%

---

**Q7**

**3 Neutral**

NPS has suggested the maximum federal share for administrative grants be capped at \$ 500,000. On a scale of 5 being strongly agree to 1 being strongly disagree, please respond below.

---

**Q8**

**Appropriate**

NASORLO has advocated there should be a minimum staffing level for the LWCF program of three FTE. A Program Manager – to oversee all aspects of LWCF delivery and stewardship, an Operations Manager – to perform ongoing inspections, pre-approvals, program promotion and active grant management, and a Compliance Manager – to concentrate on resolving conversions and lesser compliance issues. Is this recommendation?

---

**Q9**

**Respondent skipped this question**

If you indicated you would either not apply for an administrative percentage to support your program, or you indicated you would initially apply for less than the maximum of 7% would your future requests

---

**Q10**

**Need more information**

NPS has asked if biennial ( vs annual ) LWCF administrative grants would be a viable option.

---

**Q11**

Describe any challenges you face that limit the extent of the support you need to properly administer your LWCF responsibilities.

I am currently the Program Manager, Operations Manager, and Compliance Manager for the LWCF program in our state. The day to day operations of the LWCF make it difficult to keep up with the responsibilities of ensuring post stewardship compliance. With additional administrative funding, we could look into hiring a compliance manger.

---

**Q12**

**May 1st Application for fiscal year beginning in July through the following June.**

Please rate your preferences for the most optimal timing for applications and period for the annual administrative grant.

---

#44

COMPLETE

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Thursday, May 12, 2022 8:54:40 AM  
**Last Modified:** Thursday, May 12, 2022 10:39:37 AM  
**Time Spent:** 01:44:57  
**IP Address:** 72.196.166.253

---

Page 1

**Q1**

Please list the State or Territory represented by the following responses

Louisiana

---

**Q2**

**Yes**

Assuming Congress approves up to 7% for State/Territorial Administrative Grants as proposed in the Administration's FY 23 Budget are you likely to participate

---

**Q3**

**As soon as it is available in 2023.**

If Yes, how soon would you submit an application for the administrative grant?

---

**Q4**

Other (please specify):

For those of you planning to submit an administrative grant request initially to cover a year of expenses, what % of your apportionment would you need ?

Very likely year one would be 3%, but would increase to at least 5% within two years.

---

**Q5**

Based on the percentage estimate in questions 4 and your current apportionment amount, what would be the estimated total dollar amount you would request for administration of the LWCF program covered by the grant.

139,946

---

**Q6**

If you are planning to submit an administrative grant request what would you estimate to be the total dollar amount of this grant described in Question 5 dedicated to staffing the program?

132,000

---



**Q7**

**4 Agree**

NPS has suggested the maximum federal share for administrative grants be capped at \$ 500,000. On a scale of 5 being strongly agree to 1 being strongly disagree, please respond below.

**Q8**

NASORLO has advocated there should be a minimum staffing level for the LWCF program of three FTE. A Program Manager – to oversee all aspects of LWCF delivery and stewardship, an Operations Manager – to perform ongoing inspections, pre-approvals, program promotion and active grant management, and a Compliance Manager – to concentrate on resolving conversions and lesser compliance issues. Is this recommendation?

**Too few,**

Other (please specify):

Really depends on size of grant program, amount of grant funding and number of active grants and number of stewardship sites. We are fast approaching critical mass and have been thinking about this structure. Program Manager (all aspects plus Rec. Trails Prog.), Grant Administrator (active grants), Finance Administrator (funding, billing, reimbursements), Stewardship Administrator (inspections, promotion, outreach), Compliance Administrator (all compliance items), GIS Administrator (mapping, facility and asset inventory plus Rec. Trails Prog. data).

**Q9**

**Increase towards the 7% as staffing increases**

If you indicated you would either not apply for an administrative percentage to support your program, or you indicated you would initially apply for less than the maximum of 7% would your future requests

**Q10**

**No, biennial grants would not be a viable option for us**

NPS has asked if biennial ( vs annual ) LWCF administrative grants would be a viable option.

**Q11**

Describe any challenges you face that limit the extent of the support you need to properly administer your LWCF responsibilities.

Need more information, find the statement/question unclear. Is "support" NPS support or admin. grant fund support? Not sure how a "challenge" "limits" support one would need, normally it would increase the support needed.

Staffing is our largest challenge to properly administering responsibilities. Getting state approval to increase staffing will be a challenge limiting our justification to request admin. grant funding.

**Q12**

**May 1st Application for fiscal year beginning in July through the following June.**

Please rate your preferences for the most optimal timing for applications and period for the annual administrative grant.

#45

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Sunday, May 15, 2022 4:45:45 PM  
**Last Modified:** Sunday, May 15, 2022 4:51:16 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:05:30  
**IP Address:** 149.168.27.4

---

Page 1

**Q1**

Please list the State or Territory represented by the following responses

State of North Carolina

---

**Q2**

**Yes**

Assuming Congress approves up to 7% for State/Territorial Administrative Grants as proposed in the Administration's FY 23 Budget are you likely to participate

---

**Q3**

**As soon as it is available in 2023.**

If Yes, how soon would you submit an application for the administrative grant?

---

**Q4**

**Only 3-4 % of our apportionment**

For those of you planning to submit an administrative grant request initially to cover a year of expenses, what % of your apportionment would you need ?

---

**Q5**

Based on the percentage estimate in questions 4 and your current apportionment amount, what would be the estimated total dollar amount you would request for administration of the LWCF program covered by the grant.

\$210,000-\$280,000

---

**Q6**

If you are planning to submit an administrative grant request what would you estimate to be the total dollar amount of this grant described in Question 5 dedicated to staffing the program?

\$150,000

---

**Q7**

**5 Strongly Agree**

NPS has suggested the maximum federal share for administrative grants be capped at \$ 500,000. On a scale of 5 being strongly agree to 1 being strongly disagree, please respond below.

---

**Q8**

**Appropriate**

NASORLO has advocated there should be a minimum staffing level for the LWCF program of three FTE. A Program Manager – to oversee all aspects of LWCF delivery and stewardship, an Operations Manager – to perform ongoing inspections, pre-approvals, program promotion and active grant management, and a Compliance Manager – to concentrate on resolving conversions and lesser compliance issues. Is this recommendation?

---

**Q9**

**Stay at the same %.**

If you indicated you would either not apply for an administrative percentage to support your program, or you indicated you would initially apply for less than the maximum of 7% would your future requests

---

**Q10**

**Yes biennial grants would be an option we would support**

NPS has asked if biennial ( vs annual ) LWCF administrative grants would be a viable option.

---

**Q11**

Describe any challenges you face that limit the extent of the support you need to properly administer your LWCF responsibilities.

Ability of staff to keep up with changing rules.

Review conversions.

Complete inspections.

---

**Q12**

**November 1st Application for the fiscal year beginning in January through the following December.**

Please rate your preferences for the most optimal timing for applications and period for the annual administrative grant.

---

#46

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Monday, May 16, 2022 7:12:01 AM  
**Last Modified:** Monday, May 16, 2022 7:17:10 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:05:08  
**IP Address:** 158.123.57.130

---

Page 1

**Q1**

Please list the State or Territory represented by the following responses

Rhode Island

---

**Q2**

**Yes**

Assuming Congress approves up to 7% for State/Territorial Administrative Grants as proposed in the Administration's FY 23 Budget are you likely to participate

---

**Q3**

**As soon as it is available in 2023.**

If Yes, how soon would you submit an application for the administrative grant?

---

**Q4**

**Less than 3 % of our apportionment**

For those of you planning to submit an administrative grant request initially to cover a year of expenses, what % of your apportionment would you need ?

---

**Q5**

Based on the percentage estimate in questions 4 and your current apportionment amount, what would be the estimated total dollar amount you would request for administration of the LWCF program covered by the grant.

85000

---

**Q6**

If you are planning to submit an administrative grant request what would you estimate to be the total dollar amount of this grant described in Question 5 dedicated to staffing the program?

100%

---

**Q7**

**5 Strongly Agree**

NPS has suggested the maximum federal share for administrative grants be capped at \$ 500,000. On a scale of 5 being strongly agree to 1 being strongly disagree, please respond below.

---

**Q8**

**Too large**

NASORLO has advocated there should be a minimum staffing level for the LWCF program of three FTE. A Program Manager – to oversee all aspects of LWCF delivery and stewardship, an Operations Manager – to perform ongoing inspections, pre-approvals, program promotion and active grant management, and a Compliance Manager – to concentrate on resolving conversions and lesser compliance issues. Is this recommendation?

---

**Q9**

**Do not know at this time**

If you indicated you would either not apply for an administrative percentage to support your program, or you indicated you would initially apply for less than the maximum of 7% would your future requests

---

**Q10**

**Need more information**

NPS has asked if biennial ( vs annual ) LWCF administrative grants would be a viable option.

---

**Q11**

**Respondent skipped this question**

Describe any challenges you face that limit the extent of the support you need to properly administer your LWCF responsibilities.

---

**Q12**

**May 1st Application for fiscal year beginning in July through the following June.**

Please rate your preferences for the most optimal timing for applications and period for the annual administrative grant.

---

#47

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Monday, May 16, 2022 4:40:06 PM  
**Last Modified:** Monday, May 16, 2022 5:47:31 PM  
**Time Spent:** 01:07:24  
**IP Address:** 24.198.190.163

---

Page 1

**Q1**

Please list the State or Territory represented by the following responses

Maine - Dirigo

---

**Q2**

**Yes**

Assuming Congress approves up to 7% for State/Territorial Administrative Grants as proposed in the Administration's FY 23 Budget are you likely to participate

---

**Q3**

**As soon as it is available in 2023.**

If Yes, how soon would you submit an application for the administrative grant?

---

**Q4**

**Only 5% of our apportionment**

For those of you planning to submit an administrative grant request initially to cover a year of expenses, what % of your apportionment would you need ?

---

**Q5**

Based on the percentage estimate in questions 4 and your current apportionment amount, what would be the estimated total dollar amount you would request for administration of the LWCF program covered by the grant.

\$136,000

---

**Q6**

If you are planning to submit an administrative grant request what would you estimate to be the total dollar amount of this grant described in Question 5 dedicated to staffing the program?

\$100,000

---

**Q7**

NPS has suggested the maximum federal share for administrative grants be capped at \$ 500,000. On a scale of 5 being strongly agree to 1 being strongly disagree, please respond below.

**2 Disagree,**

Other (please specify):  
it depends on the needs of the state and % allowance is equitable

---

**Q8**

NASORLO has advocated there should be a minimum staffing level for the LWCF program of three FTE. A Program Manager – to oversee all aspects of LWCF delivery and stewardship, an Operations Manager – to perform ongoing inspections, pre-approvals, program promotion and active grant management, and a Compliance Manager – to concentrate on resolving conversions and lesser compliance issues. Is this recommendation?

**Too few,**

Other (please specify):  
compliance could take two to three staffers alone, but minimum of three total is bare bones

---

**Q9**

If you indicated you would either not apply for an administrative percentage to support your program, or you indicated you would initially apply for less than the maximum of 7% would your future requests

**Increase towards the 7% as staffing increases**

---

**Q10**

NPS has asked if biennial ( vs annual ) LWCF administrative grants would be a viable option.

**Yes biennial grants would be an option we would support**

,  
Other (please specify):  
assuming this is a % allocation from two consecutive annual apportionments, and not essentially 14% from one year spanning two FYs

---

**Q11**

Describe any challenges you face that limit the extent of the support you need to properly administer your LWCF responsibilities.

The primary challenge is predictability of NPS - LWCF processes and timeliness of response to state side needs

---

**Q12**

Please rate your preferences for the most optimal timing for applications and period for the annual administrative grant.

**July 1st Application for fiscal year beginning in October through the following September.**

---

#48

COMPLETE

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Tuesday, May 17, 2022 7:55:30 AM  
**Last Modified:** Tuesday, May 17, 2022 7:59:29 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:03:59  
**IP Address:** 161.7.86.253

---

Page 1

**Q1**

Please list the State or Territory represented by the following responses

Montana

---

**Q2**

**Yes**

Assuming Congress approves up to 7% for State/Territorial Administrative Grants as proposed in the Administration's FY 23 Budget are you likely to participate

---

**Q3**

**As soon as it is available in 2023.**

If Yes, how soon would you submit an application for the administrative grant?

---

**Q4**

**The full 7 % of our apportionment**

For those of you planning to submit an administrative grant request initially to cover a year of expenses, what % of your apportionment would you need ?

---

**Q5**

Based on the percentage estimate in questions 4 and your current apportionment amount, what would be the estimated total dollar amount you would request for administration of the LWCF program covered by the grant.

128000

---

**Q6**

If you are planning to submit an administrative grant request what would you estimate to be the total dollar amount of this grant described in Question 5 dedicated to staffing the program?

128000

---



**Q7**

**4 Agree**

NPS has suggested the maximum federal share for administrative grants be capped at \$ 500,000. On a scale of 5 being strongly agree to 1 being strongly disagree, please respond below.

---

**Q8**

**Too large**

NASORLO has advocated there should be a minimum staffing level for the LWCF program of three FTE. A Program Manager – to oversee all aspects of LWCF delivery and stewardship, an Operations Manager – to perform ongoing inspections, pre-approvals, program promotion and active grant management, and a Compliance Manager – to concentrate on resolving conversions and lesser compliance issues. Is this recommendation?

---

**Q9**

**Increase towards the 7% as staffing increases**

If you indicated you would either not apply for an administrative percentage to support your program, or you indicated you would initially apply for less than the maximum of 7% would your future requests

---

**Q10**

**Yes biennial grants would be an option we would support**

NPS has asked if biennial ( vs annual ) LWCF administrative grants would be a viable option.

---

**Q11**

Describe any challenges you face that limit the extent of the support you need to properly administer your LWCF responsibilities.

Legacy grant sites and conversions

---

**Q12**

**November 1st Application for the fiscal year beginning in January through the following December.**

Please rate your preferences for the most optimal timing for applications and period for the annual administrative grant.

---

#49

COMPLETE

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Tuesday, May 17, 2022 2:44:27 PM  
**Last Modified:** Tuesday, May 17, 2022 2:46:54 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:02:27  
**IP Address:** 198.52.28.184

---

Page 1

**Q1**

Please list the State or Territory represented by the following responses

American Samoa

---

**Q2**

**Yes**

Assuming Congress approves up to 7% for State/Territorial Administrative Grants as proposed in the Administration's FY 23 Budget are you likely to participate

---

**Q3**

**As soon as it is available in 2023.**

If Yes, how soon would you submit an application for the administrative grant?

---

**Q4**

**The full 7 % of our apportionment**

For those of you planning to submit an administrative grant request initially to cover a year of expenses, what % of your apportionment would you need ?

---

**Q5**

Based on the percentage estimate in questions 4 and your current apportionment amount, what would be the estimated total dollar amount you would request for administration of the LWCF program covered by the grant.

\$155,000.00

---

**Q6**

If you are planning to submit an administrative grant request what would you estimate to be the total dollar amount of this grant described in Question 5 dedicated to staffing the program?

\$75,000

---

**Q7**

**4 Agree**

NPS has suggested the maximum federal share for administrative grants be capped at \$ 500,000. On a scale of 5 being strongly agree to 1 being strongly disagree, please respond below.

---

**Q8**

**Appropriate**

NASORLO has advocated there should be a minimum staffing level for the LWCF program of three FTE. A Program Manager – to oversee all aspects of LWCF delivery and stewardship, an Operations Manager – to perform ongoing inspections, pre-approvals, program promotion and active grant management, and a Compliance Manager – to concentrate on resolving conversions and lesser compliance issues. Is this recommendation?

---

**Q9**

**Do not know at this time**

If you indicated you would either not apply for an administrative percentage to support your program, or you indicated you would initially apply for less than the maximum of 7% would your future requests

---

**Q10**

**No, biennial grants would not be a viable option for us**

NPS has asked if biennial ( vs annual ) LWCF administrative grants would be a viable option.

---

**Q11**

Describe any challenges you face that limit the extent of the support you need to properly administer your LWCF responsibilities.

Lack of training

---

**Q12**

**May 1st Application for fiscal year beginning in July through the following June.**

Please rate your preferences for the most optimal timing for applications and period for the annual administrative grant.

---